Review of Capital Construction Projects

for

Wyoming School Facilities Commission

Sweitzer Gymnasium Renovation Park County School District #6

November 25, 2002

Prepared by:

SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc. 16010 Aspen Drive Fountain Hills, AZ 85268



Table of Contents

Section 1 - Executive Summary

Section 2 – Value Engineering Review

- Summary of Value Engineering Alternatives
- VE Alternative Narratives
 - o General
 - o Civil
 - o Architectural
 - o Structural
 - o Mechanical
 - \circ Electrical
- VE Workshop Attendance List

Section 3 – Capital Project Review

- Compliance with Facilities Guidelines
- Energy Efficiency
- Safety and Security

Section 1 - Executive Summary

Project Authorization:

Building Name:	Sweitzer Gym
Enrollment:	N/A
Building SF:	36,849
Year Built:	1958
Condition Score:	46.79 (immediate need)
Recommendation: Construction Costs:	Approve funding for schematic design for renovation of existing Gym \$ 4,400,000
	ψ 1, 100,000

Proposed Project:

Building SF:	36,849
Design Phase:	Schematic Design, Oct. 14, 2002
Architect:	Plan One / Architects
Cost Estimator:	Groathouse Construction, Inc.
Construction Costs:	\$ 4,136,425

Adjustments / Reductions:

(based on Capital Construction Project Review completed November 4 & 5, 2002)

Overall Net Cost Savings to project of approximately \$ 138,000 based on the following combination of cost savings and value enhancements:

- 1. Fund asbestos abatement costs from another source \$ 222,000 potential savings
- 2. Add new, hard ceilings at locker rooms \$ 52,000 enhancement
- 3. Add heat recovery to outside air ventilation units \$ 51,000 enhancement, life-cycle savings
- 4. Add ceiling at Gymnastics / Wrestling Rooms \$ 25,000 enhancement
- 5. Concrete in lieu of hardwood sports floor at Mezzanine \$ 24,000 savings

Recommendation:

Authorize funding for the project as designed for \$ 4,400,000.

Discussion / Justification:

- 1. Less cost to remodel existing Gym than to build significantly smaller replacement Gym (\$4,566,735)
- 2. Current structural system has significant lateral design and roof loading issues which need to be addressed immediately.

VALUE ENGINEERING SUMMARY	Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 1 OF 8

			COST	SAVINGS			
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ORIGINAL COST	PROPOSED COST	INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
G	GENERAL					(\$222,017)	(\$222,017)
A	ARCHITECTURAL					\$21,706	\$21,706
S	STRUCTURAL					\$3,709	\$4,621
м	MECHANICAL					\$45,924	\$45,924
Е	ELECTRICAL					\$12,376	\$12,376
	TOTAL SUMMARY					(\$138,302)	(\$137,390)

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES	CATEGORY: GENERAL	Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 2 OF 8
--------------------------------	----------------------	--------------	------------------------------	-------------

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHAS	E				DEVELOPMEN	T PHASE		IMPLEMENTATIO			N PHASE
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	COST PROPOSED COST	SAVINGS INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT	REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
G-1	Reduce bleacher seating to match building capacity	Perceived cost reduction	In reality, leaving a void in the bleachers is not feasible for the District						\$0				
G-2	Add escalation to June construction start (1.5%)			X	\$0	\$56,406	\$56,406		\$56,406	X			\$56,406
	Explore potential alternatives for funding asbestos abatement	r		x	\$26 7 660	¢0	(\$267.660)		(\$267,660)	v			(\$267.660)
6-3	costs			^	\$267,660	\$0	(\$267,660)		(\$207,000)	^			(\$267,660)
G-4	Delete one plan review fee			x	\$10,763	\$0	(\$10,763)		(\$10,763)	x			(\$10,763)
	TOTAL GENERAL								(\$222,017)				(\$222,017)

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES ARCHITECTURAL Sweitzer Gym SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc. PAGE 3 OF 8	VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES	CATEGORY: ARCHITECTURAL	Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 3 OF 8
--	--------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------	------------------------------	-------------

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHASE					DEVELOPMEN	IT PHASE		IMPL	EMENTAT	ON PHASE
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	COST PROPOSED COST	SAVINGS INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
A-1	Abandon basement uses (use for mechanical equipment only)											
A-2	Add freight elevator											
A-3	Re-use existing public restrooms											
A-4	Add hard ceilings at locker rooms	Appearance, maintenance	Additional cost	x	\$0	\$51,657	\$51,657		\$51,657	x		\$51,657
A-5	Abandon locker rooms											
A-6	Concrete in lieu of wood floor at mezzanine	Lower cost	Could limit usage of space for special activities	x	\$35,274	\$11,345	(\$23,929)		(\$23,929)	x		(\$23,929)
A-7	Use gypsum board and metal studs above CMU at mezzanine	Lower cost, acoustics	Durability	x	\$35,740	\$22,828	(\$12,912)		(\$12,912)	x		(\$12,912)
A-8	Align bleacher aisles with new columns											
A-9	Increase R values in roof and walls (R38 & R19)			DS								
	SUB-TOTAL ARCHITECTU	RAL							\$14,816			\$14,816

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES		Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 4 OF 8	
--------------------------------	--	--------------	------------------------------	-------------	--

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHASE					DEVELOPMEN	T PHASE		IMPLEMENTATIO			N PHASE
				_		COST	SAVINGS						
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	PROPOSED COST	INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT	REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
A-10	Change tile to VCT at lobby	Lower cost	Maintenance, longevity of surface	x	\$28,620	\$10,411	(\$18,209)		(\$18,209)	x			(\$18,209)
A-11	Put ceiling in gymnastics / wrestling room	Appearance, acoustics, structur support for wall	ra Additional cost+	x	\$0	\$25,099	\$25,099		\$25,099	x			\$25,099
A-12	Enhance building elevations			DS									
A-13	Reduce size of wood gym floor	r											
	TOTAL ARCHITECTURAL	•	1	I					\$21,706		1	1	\$21,706

	CATEGORY:			
VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES	STRUCTURAL	Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 5 OF 8

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHASE					DEVELOPMEN	T PHASE		IMP	LEMEN	ΙΤΑΤΙΟΙ	N PHASE
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	COST PROPOSED COST	SAVINGS INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT	REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
S-1	Concrete in lieu of CMU shear walls			x	\$38,041	\$37,129	(\$912)		(\$912)			x	
S-2	CMU shear walls in-board of existing walls	Does not disturb building, less disruption to other trades		x	\$67,097	\$71,718	\$4,621		\$4,621	x			\$4,621
S-3	Piers in lieu of footings at new columns	None - soils at site non- conducive to this method	Inability to place in existing soils										
	TOTAL STRUCTURAL								\$3,709				\$4,621

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES	CATEGORY: MECHANICAL / PLUMBING	Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 6 OF 8	
--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------	------------------------------	-------------	--

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHASE					DEVELOPMEN	T PHASE		IMP	LEMEN	ΙΤΑΤΙΟ	N PHASE
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	COST PROPOSED COST	SAVINGS INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT	REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
M-1	Use pre-packaged roof top unit	Reduced cost to install. Eliminates hot water piping to al units.	O&M costs may be higher.	x		(\$20,502)	(\$20,502)		(\$20,502)	x			(\$20,502)
M-2	Eliminate hot water heating. Use existing steam system												
M-3	Re-use existing domestic hot water system (add new boiler to central boiler room)	Eliminates gas supply to Gym- reducing safety concerns. Easie to vent boiler.	Boiler remote to load; more pipin r between boiler and Gym demand	⁹ X	\$0	\$13,535	\$13,535		\$13,535	x			\$13,535
M-4	Tempered water in lieu of hot & cold	Saves installation cost of hot an cold water piping.	d Less control over temperature at point of use.	x		(\$2,087)			(\$2,087)	x			(\$2,087)
M-5	Add space conditioning												
M-6	Eliminate radiant floor heating system												
M-7	Use heat recovery on outside a ventilation units	Saves energy. Allows use of exhaust air heat to pre-heat outside air to ventilation units.	More expensive to provide coils and piping between exhaust and ventilation units.	x	\$0	\$50,085	\$50,085	(\$44,759)	\$50,085	x			\$50,085
M-8	Use battery operated flush valves	Cost savings- no electrical power required. Reduces vandalism.	er Annual maintenance to replace batteries.	x		(\$3,967)	(\$3,967)	(\$1,815)	(\$3,967)	x			(\$3,967)
M-9	Use no hub in lieu of hub & spigot piping	Less expensive to install. Allowe in State Facilities Guidelines.	dPossible failure of underground joints if installed improperly.	x		(\$2,982)	(\$2,982)		(\$2,982)	x			(\$2,982)
	SUB-TOTAL MECHANICA	L / PLUMBING							\$34,082				\$34,082

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES	NG Sweitzer Gym	SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc.	PAGE 7 OF 8]
--------------------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	-------------	---

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHASE					DEVELOPMEN	IT PHASE		IMP	LEMEN	ΙΤΑΤΙΟΙ	N PHASE
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	COST PROPOSED COST	SAVINGS INITIAL COST SAVINGS	O& M COST SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT	REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
M-10	Use self closing sensor faucets at lavatories	Saves water, reduces vandalis problems. Permitted in State Facilities Guidelines.	More expensive to install.	x		\$4,472		(\$4,539)	\$4,472	x			\$4,472
M-11	Put toilet / locker exhaust on energy management system	Saves energy.	Adds cost to controls contract.	x		\$7,370		(\$243,691)	\$7,370	x			\$7,370
M-12	Abandon use of chlorine room												
	TOTAL MECHANICAL / PL	UMBING							\$45,924				\$45,924

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVES ELECTRICAL Sweitzer Gym SiteTek Financial Arts, Inc. PAGE 8 OF 8

		CREATIVE / EVALUATION PHASE					DEVELOPMENT PHASE		IMP		NTATIO	N PHASE
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SELECTED	ORIGINAL COST	COST PROPOSED COST	SAVINGS INITIAL COST SAVINGS SAVINGS	TOTAL RECOMMENDED COST SAVINGS	ACCEPT	REJECT	REVIEW	TOTAL IMPLEMENTED COST SAVINGS
E-1	Use 480V service in lieu of 208 Volt service.	Reduces size of conduit and wire sizes.	May increase cost for supplying new transformer to serve building.	x	\$0	\$2,982	\$2,982	\$2,982	x			\$2,982
E-2	Use 277V lights in lieu of 120V	Energy savings, smaller conduit and wire sizes.	Increases number of light tubes in maintenance stock for replacement.	x				included in E1				
E-3	Use 480V mechanical equipment	Reduces size of conduit and wire sizes.	None.	x				included in E1				
E-4	Add lighting controls to EMS											
E-5	Provide additional conduit for security systems & add 5 security cameras.	Cheaper to install spare conduit while building is under construction.	May not provide conduit in right place for future needs.	x	\$0	\$10,166	\$10,166	\$10,166	x			\$10,166
E-6	Add card readers at doors (wireless)	Restricts access to building; increases campus security.	Adds cost to project.	x	\$0	\$1,789	\$1,789	\$1,789	x			\$1,789
E-7	Use recessed fixtures in locker rooms	Less costly to install. Reduces vandalism.	Adds cost to install ceiling in locker room.	x			(\$4,350)	(\$4,350)	x			(\$4,350)
E-8	Add float alarm at chlorine room and water main	Reduces potential damage to building in case of water or pool piping leak.	Adds cost to project.	x	\$0	\$1,789	\$1,789	\$1,789	x			\$1,789
	TOTAL ELECTRICAL		·					\$12,376				\$12,376

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE No. G-1 **PROJECT:** Sweitzer Gymnasium ITEM: Reduce bleacher seating to match building capacity ORIGINAL DESIGN: Maximize bleacher capacity at existing mezzanines. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Reduce bleacher capacity to match building capacity. **DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION:** (Advantages / Disadvantages) Advantages: Give school district and community additional seating for events. Perceived cost reduction. Disadvantages: In reality, leaving a void in the bleachers is not feasible for the District **TOTAL SAVINGS** CAPITAL COST ANNUAL O&M COST SUMMARY Original Design \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Proposed Design \$ \$ \$ Savings \$ **ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS** \$ - 0 -**TOTAL SAVINGS**

VALUE ENGINEERING	ALTERNATIVE		No. G-2
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym	inasium		
ITEM: Add escalation to	June construction sta	rt (1.5%)	
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Current estimate is prived	to start in Eshruany 2002	
ORIGINAL DESIGN.	Current estimate is priced	to start in February 2003.	
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Add escalation to project	cost for construction delay	⁷ until June 2003.
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICA (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages: Provides more	accurate estimate of cons	struction costs.	
Disadvantages: Escalation ind	creases cost of construction	on.	
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Add ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS TOTAL ADD	CAPITAL COST \$ - 0 - \$ 56,408 \$ 56,408	\$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ 56,408 \$ \$ 56,408

I

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE		No. G-3
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gy	nnasium		
ITEM: Explore potential	alternatives for fundir	ng asbestos abatem	ent costs
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Current cost estimates in acoustical and flooring m		s abatement of ceiling
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Investigate alternative fu	nding source to pay for	asbestos abatement.
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC (Advantages / Disadvantage	_		
Advantages: Reduce cost	o project.		
Disadvantages: None.			
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Savings	CAPITAL COST \$ 267,660 \$ - 0 - (\$ 267,660)	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ (\$ 267, 660)
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$ 267,660)

ORIGINAL DESIGN: Current cost estimates includes Reviews ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Only one of these plan review f DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION: (Advantages / Disadvantages) Advantages: Reduce cost to project. Disadvantages: None. COST SUMMARY CAPITAL COST ANI		
Reviews ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Only one of these plan review f DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION: (Advantages / Disadvantages) Advantages: Reduce cost to project. Disadvantages: None. COST SUMMARY CAPITAL COST		
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION: (Advantages / Disadvantages) Advantages: Reduce cost to project. Disadvantages: None. COST SUMMARY CAPITAL COST	cost for Plan Check a	nd Fire Marshall
(Advantages / Disadvantages) Advantages: Reduce cost to project. Disadvantages: None. COST SUMMARY CAPITAL COST ANI	es is required.	
Disadvantages: None. COST SUMMARY CAPITAL COST ANI		
COST SUMMARY CAPITAL COST ANI		
Original Design \$ 21,526 \$ Proposed Design \$ 10,763 \$ Savings (\$ 10,763) \$	\$ \$	DTAL SAVINGS 10,763)
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS TOTAL SAVINGS	•	10,763)

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE

No. A-4

PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium

ITEM: Add hard ceiling at locker rooms

ORIGINAL DESIGN: Existing concrete tees left exposed.

ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Install abuse resistant hard ceiling on bottom side of concrete tees.

DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION:

(Advantages / Disadvantages)

Advantages: Better appearance, lower maintenance

Disadvantages: Additional cost.

COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design	\$ - 0-	\$	\$
Proposed Design	\$ 51,657	\$	\$
Add	\$ 51,657	\$	\$ 51,657
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$
TOTAL ADD			\$ 51,657

	G ALTERNATIVE		No. A-6
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gyn	nasium		
ITEM: Concrete in lieu of	f wood floor at east m	ezzanine	
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Remove and replace exis	sting wood flooring on the	e east mezzanine.
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Remove the existing woo concrete topping and sea		nezzanine floor. Pour
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages: Reduced cost.			
Disadvantages: Could limit us	sage of space for special	activities.	
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design Proposed Design Savings	\$ 35,274 \$ 11,345 (\$23,929)	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ (\$ 23,929)
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$ (\$ 23,929)
TOTAL SAVINGS			(+,)

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE		No. A-7			
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium						
ITEM: Install gypsum bo	ard above CMU at mez	zanine				
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Install new CMU wall at s	outh side of the mezzar	nine wrestling area			
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Install new stud wall at so Include sound insulation i		ne wrestling area.			
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages						
Advantages: Reduced cost.	Additional acoustical value	Je.				
Disadvantages: Reduced dur	ability.					
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS			
Original Design Proposed Design Savings	\$ 35,740 \$ 22,828 (\$ 12,912)	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ (\$ 12,912)			
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$			
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$ 12,912)			

VALUE ENGINEERIN	VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE No. A-9				
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gyr	nnasium				
ITEM: Increase R-Value	in roof and walls				
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Eviating roof and walls to	roccivo no odditional in	oulation		
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Existing roof and walls to		sulation.		
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Investigate existing conditions, determine R-values. Increase R-values as budget permits.					
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC					
Advantages: Improved ope	rating costs. Improved a	opearance.			
Disadvantages: Additional costs.					
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Savings	CAPITAL COST \$ \$ \$	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ \$ \$		
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS					
TOTAL SAVINGS Design Suggestion					

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE

PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium

ITEM: Change tile to VCT at lobby.

ORIGINAL DESIGN: The lobby to receive porcelain ceramic floor tile.

ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Change the porcelain ceramic to VCT.

DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION:

(Advantages / Disadvantages)

Advantages: Reduced cost.

Disadvantages: Reduced maintenance, longevity of surface

COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design	\$ 28,620	\$	\$
Proposed Design	\$ 10,411	\$	\$
Savings	(\$18,209)	\$	(\$ 18,209)
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$ 18,209)

DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION: (Advantages / Disadvantages) Advantages: Appearance, acoustics, structural support for exterior wall Disadvantages: Additional cost

PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium ITEM: Put ceiling in gymnastics / wrestling room

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE

ORIGINAL DESIGN: Existing curved roof to remain exposed at wrestling / gymnastics area

ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Install new hard ceiling over the gymnastics / wrestling area

COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design	\$ -0-	\$	\$
Proposed Design	\$ 25,099	\$	\$
Add	\$ 25,099	\$	\$ 25,099
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$
TOTAL ADD			\$ 25,099

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE			No. S-1	
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym	nasium			
ITEM: Concrete in lieu of concrete masonry unit shear walls				
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Reinforced concrete maso	onry unit shear walls		
		,,		
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: 0	Cast-in-place concrete sh	ear walls		
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICA (Advantages / Disadvantages)				
Advantages:				
Disadvantages:				
COST SUMMARY Original Design	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS	
Proposed Design	\$ 38,041 \$ 37,129 (f 012)	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ (* 012)	
Savings ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS	(\$ 912)	Φ	(\$ 912) \$	
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$ 912)	

	G ALTERNATIVE		No. S-2	
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gyn	nnasium			
ITEM: Concrete masonry	y shear walls in-board	of existing walls		
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Masonry shear walls in pl	ace of existing brick wa	alls	
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Masonry shear walls in-board of existing masonry walls. (demolition deduct \$16,096) (see attached sketch)				
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages	-			
Advantages: Leaves building completely closed during construction. Less disruption to other trades.				
Disadvantages: Additional cost (almost a wash, but preferred method). Placement of new footings to support walls.				
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS	
Original Design	\$ 67,097 \$ 71 718	\$	\$	
Proposed Design Add	\$ 71,718 \$ 4,621	\$ \$	\$ \$ 4,621	
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS \$				
TOTAL ADD			\$ 4,621	

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE	No. M-1		
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym Renovation				
ITEM: Use pre-packaged	l roof top Units.			
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	New hot water Unit Ventilators to be installed in	n the Gym.		
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Replace existing hot water Unit Ventilators with ventilators.	n rooftop gas fired t		
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICA (Advantages / Disadvantages				
Advantages:				
Disadvantages:				

COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design	\$	\$	\$
Proposed Design	(\$20,502)	\$	(\$20,502)
Savings	\$	\$	\$
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$20,502)

gas fired unit

ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Replace existing domest with new larger water he other campus buildings); basement of the Gym. T fired boiler in the basem venting the boiler to the	eater (existing water heat the stall new water storates this will eliminate the po ent level, and also the c	ater serves Gym plus ge tanks in the tential hazard of gas
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICA (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages:			
Disadvantages:			
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Add ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS	CAPITAL COST \$ \$ 13,535 (add) \$	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ \$ \$
TOTAL ADD			\$ 13,535

New gas fired domestic hot water boiler and storage tanks to be

installed in the basement of the Gym.

PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym Renovation ITEM: Re-use Existing Domestic HW System (new boiler in Boiler Room)

ORIGINAL DESIGN:

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE

No. M-3

PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym Renovation

ITEM: Tempered water in Lieu of Hot and cold piping to lavs and showers.

ORIGINAL DESIGN: Showers and lavatories to be plumbed with hot and cold water.

ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Provide tempering water valve at the water heater. Pipe showers and Lavs with tempered water only, with recirculation line to keep water temperature at design temp.

DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION:

(Advantages / Disadvantages)

Advantages:

Disadvantages:

COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design	\$	\$	\$
Proposed Design	(\$2,087)	\$	(\$2,087)
Savings	\$	\$	\$
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$2,087)

VALUE ENGINEERING ALTERNATIVE No. M-7			No. M-7
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gyn	n Renovation		
ITEM: Use Heat Recover	y on OSA Ventilation	Units	
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Outside sir (OSA) suppli	d to ventilation units con	ing the Cum proper to
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Outside air (OSA) supplie be heated to supply temp recovery.		• • • •
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	I: Install water to water heat recovery heat exchanger in the exhaust fans, with pump and piping/controls to recover 80% of the heat exhausted to pre-heat the outside air supplied to the ventilation units serving the Gym proper.		
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages:			
Disadvantages:			
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design Proposed Design Add	\$ \$ 50,085 \$	\$ (\$4,931/yr) (\$44,759)	\$ \$ 50,085 \$ 50,085
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			(\$4,931/yr)
TOTAL ADD			\$ 50,085

I

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE		No. M-8
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym Renovation			
ITEM: Use battery opera	ted flush valves.		
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Flush valves for water clo volt type.	sets and urinals to be se	nsor controlled 120
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:			
	type. This saves the cost	of running power wiring	to each valve.
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages:			
Disadvantages:			
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design Proposed Design Savings ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS	\$ (\$ 3,697) \$	\$ 0 (\$200/yr) savings \$	\$ (\$3,697) (\$3,697) \$ 200/year
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$ 3,697)

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE		No. M-9
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gyn	n Renovation		
ITEM: Use no hub in lieu	of hub & spigot pipir	ng.	
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Only permits use of hub	& spigot in the Mechanic	cal Specifications.
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Give Contractor choice o on underground waste p		s to reduce labor cost
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages:			
Disadvantages:			
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design Proposed Design Savings ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS	\$ 0 (\$3,697) \$	\$ \$ \$	\$ (\$3,697) \$ \$
TOTAL SAVINGS			(\$3,697)

VALUE ENGINEERING	GALTERNATIVE		No. M-10
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gyn	n Renovation		
ITEM: Use self closing set	ensor faucets at lavat	ories.	
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Two handle faucets, mar	nually operated.	
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	and reduce maintenance		vatories to save water,
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICA (Advantages / Disadvantages			
Advantages:			
Disadvantages:			
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design	CAPITAL COST \$ 0 \$4,472	ANNUAL O&M \$ (\$500/yr)	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ 4,472
Add ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS	\$	\$	(\$ 500/yr)
TOTAL ADD			\$ 4,472

PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym Renovation

ITEM: Put Toilet/Locker Exhaust on EMS

ORIGINAL DESIGN: Toilet/Locker Exhaust fans to be on wall switch or run continuously.

ALTERNATIVE DESIGN: Install auxillary contacts in the starters on the toilet Room/Locker Room exhaust fans wired to the building Energy Management System, to control the on/off of the exhaust fans based on building occupancy.

DISCUSSION / JUSTIFICATION:

(Advantages / Disadvantages)

Advantages:

Disadvantages:

COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS
Original Design	\$ 0	\$	\$
Proposed Design	\$ 7,370	(\$26,847/yr)	\$ 7,370 (add)
Add	\$	(\$243,691)	
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$ 26,847/yr
TOTAL ADD			\$ 7,370

	GALTERNATIVE		No. E-1	
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym	PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium Renovation			
ITEM: 480 volt service in	lieu of 208 volt servi	се		
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Current design based or transformer and service v/3ph service entrance s to be installed.	conduit and conductors	to the building. New 208	
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	replace service entrance	e section to new 480 vol 80 v/3ph panels. Provid	It panel. Replace existing le new 208 v transformer	
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages	_			
Advantages:				
Disadvantages:				
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Add ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS TOTAL ADD	CAPITAL COST \$ 0 \$ 2,982 \$	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ 2,982 \$ \$ \$ \$ 2,982	

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE		No. E-2		
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium Renovation					
ITEM: 277 Volt Lights in	ITEM: 277 Volt Lights in Lieu of 120 volt Lights.				
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	All lighting in Gym to be	120 volt type.			
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	If 480 volt, 3 ph service from new 480 volt panel		It light fixtures supplied		
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC					
Advantages:					
Disadvantages:					
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Savings	CAPITAL COST \$ \$ \$	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ \$		
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$ \$ total included in		
TOTAL SAVINGS			\$ total included in E1		

VALUE ENGINEERIN	G ALTERNATIVE		No. E-3	
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gymnasium Renovation				
ITEM: Mechanical Equipment supplied with 480 volt motors.				
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	All mechanical equipmen from 208 volt panels.	t to be supplied with 208 v	olt motors, served	
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	If 480 volt service is insta specified with 480 volt me		al equipment to be	
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC/ (Advantages / Disadvantages				
Advantages:				
Disadvantages:				
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Savings	CAPITAL COST \$ \$ \$	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ \$	
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS	*	·	\$	
TOTAL SAVINGS			\$ total included in E1	

	GALTERNATIVE		No. E-5		
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gym	nasium Renovation				
ITEM: Additional conduit	ITEM: Additional conduit for Security Systems and cameras.				
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	No future security system	n capacity provided.			
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN:	Provide spare conduit in future security upgrades.				
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFIC (Advantages / Disadvantages	_				
Advantages:					
Disadvantages:					
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Add	CAPITAL COST \$ 0 \$ 10,166 \$	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ \$ 10,166 \$		
ANNUAL O&M SAVINGS			\$		
TOTAL ADD			\$ 10,166		

I

ITEM: Add wireless ca	ard readers at doors.			
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Standard door hardward	Standard door hardware to be installed on outside doors.		
ALTERNATIVE DESIG	N: Install wireless card acc access to the building.	ess hardware on select	ed doors to control	
DISCUSSION / JUSTIF (Advantages / Disadvanta				
Advantages:				
Disadvantages:				
COST SUMMARY	CAPITAL COST	ANNUAL O&M	TOTAL SAVINGS	
Original Design Proposed Design Add	\$ 0 \$ 1,789 \$	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ 1,789 \$	
ANNUAL O&M SAVING	Ŧ	¥	\$ \$	
			\$ 1,789	

VALUE ENGINEERI	NG ALTERNATIVE		No. E-7
PROJECT: Sweitzer Gy	mnasium Renovation		
ITEM: Recessed light f	ixtures in Locker Roon	ns.	
ORIGINAL DESIGN:	Locker room lighting to I fixtures.	be provided by security	grade wall mounted
ALTERNATIVE DESIGN	: If ceilings are installed ir light fixtures to be install		
DISCUSSION / JUSTIFI (Advantages / Disadvantag Advantages:			
Disadvantages:			
COST SUMMARY Original Design Proposed Design Savings ANNUAL O&M SAVING	CAPITAL COST \$ 0 (\$ 4,350) \$ S	ANNUAL O&M \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SAVINGS \$ (\$4,350) \$ \$
	•		(\$4,350)

ATTENDANCE LIST

Value Engineering Workshop

Project: Sweitzer Gymnasium, Cody, Wyoming

Date: November 4 & 5, 2002

PARTICIPANTS:

Name:	Job Function:	Organization/Address:	Phone/ Fax/ e-mail:
John Pucetas	Facilitator	SiteTek Financial Arts	480-836-0594
		16010 Aspen Drive	480-836-0596
		Fountain Hills, AZ. 85258	sitetek@earthlink.net
Bob Lower	Structural	1607 Cy Ave., #201	307-234-6984
	Engineer	Casper, WY 82604	307-234-6985
			lowerpc@trb.com
Skip Young	Plan One /	65 Merrill Ave	307-733-6984
	Architects	Jackson, WY 83001	307-733-1887
			syoung@planone.com
Dave Wellington	Plan One /	1001 12th Street	307-587-8646
	Architects	Cody, WY 82414	
			syoung@wavenet.com
David D. LaPlante	State of WY	291 Indian Paintbrush #3	307-262-5906
	SFC	Casper, WY 82604	
			davidla@trib.com
Bryan Monteith	PCSD#6	919 Cody Avenue	307-587-4253
	Superintendent	Cody, WY 82414	
			bmonteith@park6.k12.wy.us
Fred Bronnenberg	Groathouse	1539 Bleistein	307-587-6610
_	Construction	Cody, WY 82414	307-587-6613
			fbronnenberg@groathouse.com
Ray Schwimmer	Mechanical	Schwimmer Engineers	480-968-8440
		520 E. Southern Ave.#102	480-968-6108
		Tempe, AZ 85282	sei@schwimmeraz.com
Kevin Schilling	Electrical	Patterson, Weber & Associates	307-266-5033
	Engineer	1607 Cy Ave., #303	307-234-3805
		Casper, WY 82604	pwaengr@aol.com
Ken Hilton	Mechanical	Patterson, Weber & Associates	307-266-5033
	Engineer	1607 Cy Ave., #303	307-234-3805
	-	Casper, WY 82604	pwaengr@aol.com

Review of Capital Construction Projects for

Wyoming School Facilities Commission

District: Park County School District #6

Project: Sweitzer Gym Renovation

Architect: Plan One

1. FACILITIES GUIDELINES

	Comment	Resolution
1.1 Sit	te Requirements	
	Consider construction fencing alignment to allow pedestrian access after demolition and installation of replacement sidewalk section.	
	Note 17 - Potential maintenance issue with delamination of epoxy coating on concrete substrate due to freeze-thaw cycle.	
1.2 Ph	ysical Education	
	Sht AR1.1: Gym Court (122) - Add volleyball court markings to comply with listed program uses.	
	Sht AR1.1: Offices 117 & 127 - Show furniture layout; appears to be tight for anything other than built-ins along wall.	
	Sht AR1.1: Weight Room 137 - Show exercise equipment layout; appears to be tight. Consider additional space in area occupied by Janitor Rm 101. Enlarge door from Lobby 100 to double doors for ease of access.	
	Ref. WPS Facilities Guidelines, pg 13, Showers - Privacy issue would require small dressing areas adjacent to shower stalls. (Discuss)	
	EXCEPTION to WPS Facilities Guidelines, pg 13, Showers - Not all locker and dressing areas are visible from PE offices. (Discuss)	
1.2.6	Sht A1.2: Show openings cut through floor for new stairs.	
1.3 Co	ommons, Circulation and Entries	
1.3.1	Sht AR1.0: South Entrances - Key Note #32 is incorrect; should be reference to area drain.	
1.4 Bu	ilding Support Areas	
1.4.1	Sht AR1.0: Key Note #26 - add "& gates".	
1.4.2	Sht AR1.0: Key Note #27 - add "HM(?) and frame".	
	Sht AR1.1: Rm 101 Janitor - Why so large? Is space for storage to be included? If so, access to mop sink could be blocked by storage.	
1.4.4	Sht AR1.2: Mechanical Rooms 202 & 204 - Provide 6070 HM drs in lieu of 3070 HM door to facilitate access to HVAC equipment.	

Revi	Review of Capital Construction Projects for		
Wyo	ming School Facilities Commission		
Distri	ct: Park County School District #6		
Proje	ct: Sweitzer Gym Renovation	Architect: Plan One	
	ectrical and Lighting Considerations	r	
1.5.1	Sht AR1.1: Key note #21 - add "motor operated". Include power & switches.		
1.5.2	Sht E2.1: Add light fixtures in Janitor Rm 101.		
1.5.3	Sht E2.1: Add Display Case lighting in Lobby 100.		
1.5.4	Sht E2.1: Add 2-2x4 light fixtures in corridor south of Rm 103 Concessions.		
	Sht E 2.1: Add light fixtures in Shower Rooms along N/S walls; delete light fixture on E/W walls.		
1.5.6	The existing electrical service size is not given in the design analysis. The building size is 41,189 sf at 14VA/SF minimum equals 576,646VA and at 277/480V, 3 phase, 4 wire, the load is 694 amps. We suggest using an 800 Amp 277/480V, 3 phase, 4 wire SES.		
1.5.7	The available fault current should be 30,000 amps; much lower than 65,000A for a 1600A, 120/208V, SES be sure to do all fault current calculations.		
1.5.8	The design analysis is not clear as to reusing existing panels or not. In any event the 277/480V panel boards will be new and the 120/208V panel boards should be new with main circuit breakers fed thru new step down transformers. Panels to be 42 circuit d		
1.5.9	No specifications on wire types. Use copper wire for smaller wire. An alternate of aluminum with compression lugs, for 100 Amps and larger wire is acceptable.		
1.5.10	No grounding shown on the drawings. No 1-line diagram on the drawings. No specifications; narrative only and not complete.		
1.5.11			
1.5.12	No exterior lights are shown on any drawings. Suggest using high pressure sodium security lights for exterior; more efficient and lamps last longer. No site plan, no parking lot security lights.		

Revi	ew of Capital Construction Projects for	
Wyo	ming School Facilities Commission	
Distri	ct: Park County School District #6	
Proje	ct: Sweitzer Gym Renovation	Architect: Plan One
1.6 Plu	umbing Considerations	
1.6.1	Use sensor controlled wc, Urinals, and Lavs. Allow no-hub waste piping undergrade. Investigate possibility of leaving domestic water heater in the Boiler Building, and pipe back to the Gym. This will eliminate gas in the basement of the Gym, and the diff	
1.6.2	Sht AR1.1: Rm 103 Concessions - Is commercial refrigerator or ice maker required? If so, add floor sink. Add keynote regarding hand sink.	
	Sht AR1.1: Laundry Rooms 109 &134 - Show washer & dryer equipment and connections.	
1.7 He	ating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Considerations	•
1.7.1	Sht AR1.1: Laundry Rooms 109 &134 - Dryer venting thru roof or outside wall not shown.	
	Recommend water/water heat recovery on Unit Ventilators. Consider using space below the lockers for exhaust grilles to ductwork located in the piping tunnel below the floor.	

2. ENERGY EFFICIENCY

	Comment	Resolution
2.1 Di	strict Policies and Procedures regarding energy conservation	
2.1.1	Specifications only list low energy efficient fluorescent fixtures with electronic ballasts (T8 lamps) with low harmonic distortion; 10% maximum. (120V)	
2.2 Li	ghting fixtures and bulbs	
2.2.1	All lighting fixtures to be new and are high efficient fluorescent with T8 lamps (4100 Degree K) and low harmonic distortion ballasts. No fixture schedule on drawings nor in the specifications.	
2.2.2	Use all 3-lamp and 4-lamp ballasts in place of 2-1 and 2-2 lamp ballasts; saves energy.	
2.3 Se	curity lighting and parking lot lighting	
	Outside lights are listed in the design analysis as metal halide. Change to high pressure sodium if possible; more efficient and lamps last longer. No fixture shown on plans and no specifications.	

Review of Capital Construction Projects for		
Wyoming School Facilities Commission		
District: Park County School District #6		
Project: Sweitzer Gym Renovation	Architect: Plan One	
2.4 Exit Lighting		
2.4.1 No exit nor emergency lights are shown on the drawings. Exit and		
emergency lighting is listed in the design analysis; none on the drawings.		
Drawings and specifications need to be completed. 1 FC Avg illumination.		
2.5 Load shedding controls	1	
2.5.1 No load shedding controls are shown on the drawings and in the design		
analysis. Load shedding controls not needed for this building.		
2.6 Occupancy sensors		
2.6.1 No occupancy sensors shown the drawings nor in the analysis. Occupancy		
sensors (dual technology) should be used for small rooms.		
2.7 Lighting and fan timers		
2.7.1 Connect toilet room exhaust fans into new EMS.		
2.7.2 No timers are shown on the drawings nor in the specifications. Should be		
added.		
2.8 Insulation Values		
2.8.1 Confirm insulation values of existing walls and roof. Increase R-values		
where financially feasible to R-38 in roof and R-19 in walls.		
2.9 Water Saving Devices	1	
2.9.1 Use self closing (sensor controlled) faucets on lavs. Use timer controlled,		
tempered water showers to control length of shower.		
3. SAFETY AND SECURITY		
Comment	Resolution	
3.1 Building access system	1	
3.1.1 No building access system is specified or noted on the drawings. Consider		
adding a wireless building access system.		
3.2 Security system		
3.2.1 Add empty conduit for future installation of security system		
3.3 Internal communication system		
3.3.1 No intercom devices are shown on the drawings. A new clock/intercom		
system is proposed in the outline specifications.		

Revi	ew of Capital Construction Projects for	
Wyo	ming School Facilities Commission	
Distr	ict: Park County School District #6	
Proje	ct: Sweitzer Gym Renovation	Architect: Plan One
3.4 Tr	affic segregation	
3.4.1	Sht AS1.0: Alternate Layout for construction staging plan would allow for continued safe use of existing facilities and segregate construction parking next to GC storage area.	
3.4.2	East side of Gym - Replace broken sidewalk during initial phase. Pedestrian	
3.5 Er	nvironmental Issues	
3.5.1	Sht A1.0: If necessary, perform asbestos and lead paint testing and abatement in mechanical rooms and pipe chases.	
3.5.2	Sht AR11.2: Asbestos coating to be removed from ceiling.	
3.5.3	Add float alarm at chlorine room.	
3.5.4	Add emergency eyewash in pool chlorine room in basement	