

HOUSE BILL NO. HB0160

Digital Taxonomy Act.

Sponsored by: Representative(s) Singh

A BILL

for

1 AN ACT relating to distributed ledger digital assets and
 2 sealed tokens; establishing the classification of digital
 3 assets as specified; creating a sealed scope hierarchy and
 4 multi-aspect analytical framework for digital assets;
 5 establishing jurisdictional nexus and lex situs
 6 requirements for keyholders and digital assets; providing
 7 for the characterization of digital assets; providing
 8 definitions; providing legislative findings; specifying
 9 applicability; and providing for an effective date.

10

11 *Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Wyoming:*

12

13 **Section 1.** W.S. 43-1-101 through 43-3-201 are created
 14 to read:

15

16

TITLE 43

1 DISTRIBUTED LEDGER DIGITAL ASSETS AND SEALED TOKENS

2

3

CHAPTER 1

4

GENERAL PROVISIONS

5

6

ARTICLE 1

7

DEFINITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION OF DEFINITIONS

8

9

43-1-101. Definitions.

10

11

(a) As used in this title:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(i) "Analytically prior" means a state where the legal or technical characterization of one (1) object or event logically precedes and constitutes a necessary condition for the characterization of a subsequent object or event;

(ii) "Associated sealed token" means a token that does not constitute a sealed token but is connected to a sealed token through a distributed ledger transaction designated through a distributed ledger protocol or another protocol;

1

2 (iii) "Computational corpus" means the
3 collection of distributed ledger records and distributed
4 ledger protocol parameters representing the legal
5 characterization that computational indicia may evidence
6 with respect to a digital asset or token;

7

8 (iv) "Computational indicia" means discrete
9 data, records or attributes maintained on a distributed
10 ledger from which a computational corpus may be determined
11 through characterization under this title;

12

13 (v) "Consensus protocol" means the mechanism
14 through which distributed ledger nodes achieve agreement on
15 transaction validity, ordering and state transitions to
16 ensure a consistent ledger state across all nodes without
17 central coordination;

18

19 (vi) "Content identifier" or "CID" means a
20 digital fingerprint produced from content through
21 cryptographic hashing methods that serve as an address
22 reference to retrieve data and provide for non-repudiable
23 verification of integrity;

1

2 (vii) "Control timestamp" means a temporal
3 marker produced and validated by a protocol that
4 establishes the specific moment at which an act of control
5 occurred over a distributed ledger record;

6

7 (viii) "Corpus" means the legal characterization
8 that indicia may evidence with respect to distributed
9 ledger digital assets, sealed tokens and tokens, whether or
10 not legally significant;

11

12 (ix) "Decentralized system" means a network of
13 nodes running a consensus protocol where no single
14 participant controls transaction validation, state
15 transitions or governance, with a consistent ledger state
16 replicated across all nodes;

17

18 (x) "Delegation" means the transfer of the
19 exercise of a governance power from the holder to a
20 delegate without transferring the underlying governance
21 token or governance jural relation;

22

1 (xi) "Delegation corpus" means the legal
2 characterization that a delegation indicia may evidence,
3 including transferring the exercise of a governance power
4 from a delegator to a delegate without transferring the
5 underlying governance token;

6

7 (xii) "Delegation indicia" means observable data
8 from which a delegation corpus may be determined through
9 characterization under this title. "Delegation indicia"
10 shall include delegation instructions, delegator and
11 delegate identifiers, scope fields, duration fields and
12 revocation records;

13

14 (xiii) "Digital asset" means a digital
15 representation of value, rights, powers or other interests
16 recorded on a cryptographically secured distributed ledger
17 that is capable of being transferred, stored or controlled
18 through cryptographic keys;

19

20 (xiv) "Distributed ledger" means technology
21 through which a shared state remains recorded, synchronized
22 and maintained across a network in which cryptography and a
23 consensus protocol verify and finalize state transitions;

1

2 (xv) "Distributed ledger digital asset" means
3 the aggregate construct of one (1) or more sealed tokens
4 cryptographically recorded on or referenced by a
5 distributed ledger across multiple sequential or concurrent
6 transactions over time;

7

8 (xvi) "Distributed ledger protocol" means the
9 complete specification of rules and mechanisms governing a
10 distributed ledger system's operation. "Distributed ledger
11 protocol" shall include a consensus protocol, network
12 communication, state transition, transaction processing and
13 execution logic;

14

15 (xvii) "Distributed ledger transaction" means a
16 state transition that is comprised of inputs, outputs and
17 cryptographic signatures validated by a distributed ledger
18 protocol and recorded as ledger state upon execution;

19

20 (xviii) "Evidentiary corpus" means the fact, res
21 or jural relation representing the legal characterization
22 that an evidentiary indicia may evidence, whether

1 maintained on a distributed ledger, in a sealed token or in
2 any other system;

3

4 (xix) "Evidentiary data" means data recorded to
5 a distributed ledger or distributed ledger protocol that,
6 together with its subject matter, demonstrates the
7 existence, integrity and historical sequence of a fact, res
8 or jural relation;

9

10 (xx) "Evidentiary indicia" means distributed
11 ledger mechanisms by which an evidentiary corpus remains
12 authenticated and verified. "Evidentiary indicia" shall
13 include cryptographic signatures, hashes, timestamps,
14 finality proofs and cryptographic embodiment in a
15 distributed ledger protocol or sealed token;

16

17 (xxi) "Governance disability" means the
18 correlative absence of a governance power by which a person
19 remains unable to change the jural relations of an immunity
20 holder through the exercise of governance mechanisms;

21

22 (xxii) "Governance disability corpus" means the
23 legal characterization that a governance disability indicia

1 may evidence. "Governance disability corpus" shall include
2 the legal position corresponding to the absence of
3 governance power by which a person cannot change specified
4 jural relations;

5

6 (xxiii) "Governance disability indicia" means
7 observable data from which a governance disability corpus
8 may be determined through characterization under this
9 title. "Governance disability indicia" shall include
10 non-voting status, restricted role flags, disabled
11 permissions, class-based exclusions and protocol rules;

12

13 (xxiv) "Governance immunity" means a Hohfeldian
14 immunity disabling another person from exercising a
15 governance power to change the holder's jural relations.
16 "Governance immunity" shall include minority protections,
17 veto rights, supermajority requirements and charter based
18 constraints;

19

20 (xxv) "Governance immunity corpus" means the
21 legal characterization that a governance immunity indicia
22 may evidence. "Governance immunity corpus" shall include
23 legal protections disabling another person from exercising

1 a governance power to change the holder's governance jural
2 relations or charter based constraints;

3

4 (xxvi) "Governance immunity indicia" means
5 observable data from which a governance immunity corpus may
6 be determined through characterization under this title.
7 "Governance immunity indicia" shall include special class
8 designations, protective provisions, veto flags,
9 supermajority thresholds and immunity clauses;

10

11 (xxvii) "Governance jural relation" means a
12 jural relation pertaining to collective decision-making for
13 a distributed ledger digital asset, protocol or
14 organization. "Governance jural relation" shall include
15 powers, liabilities, immunities and disabilities exercised
16 through governance mechanisms;

17

18 (xxviii) "Governance jural relation corpus"
19 means the legal characterization that a governance jural
20 relation may evidence. "Governance jural relation corpus"
21 shall include governance powers, governance liabilities,
22 governance immunities and governance disabilities attaching

1 to a specified res, interests or roles within an
2 organization;

3

4 (xxix) "Governance jural relation indicia" means
5 observable data from which a governance jural relation
6 corpus may be determined through characterization under
7 this title. "Governance jural relation indicia" shall
8 include token balances, voting records, delegation records
9 and governing instrument references;

10

11 (xxx) "Governance liability" means the
12 correlative liability of a person or protocol to have the
13 person or protocol's jural relations changed by the
14 exercise of a governance power, without the person's
15 consent to the specific change;

16

17 (xxxii) "Governance liability corpus" means the
18 legal characterization that a governance liability indicia
19 may evidence. "Governance liability corpus" shall include
20 the legal position of a person subject to changes in
21 governance jural relations through the exercise of
22 governance power;

23

1 (xxxii) "Governance liability indica" means
2 observable data from which a governance liability corpus
3 may be determined through characterization under this
4 title. "Governance liability indicia" shall include
5 membership records, token holdings, role designations and
6 protocol rules identifying the parties that are bound by
7 the rules;

8
9 (xxxiii) "Governance power" means a Hohfeldian
10 power held by a person to participate in collective
11 decision-making. "Governance power" shall include voting,
12 proposal, delegation or veto power by which the holder may
13 unilaterally or collectively change jural relations;

14
15 (xxxiv) "Governance power corpus" means the
16 legal characterization that a governance power indicia may
17 evidence. "Governance power corpus" shall include the legal
18 capacity of a person to change governance jural relations
19 of other persons, organizations or protocols through
20 governance mechanisms;

21
22 (xxxv) "Governance power indicia" means
23 observable data from which a governance power corpus may be

1 determined through characterization under this title.
2 "Governance power indicia" shall include token-weighted
3 mappings, role assignments, access controls, delegation
4 records and protocol-specified permissions;

5

6 (xxxvi) "Governance token" means a sealed token
7 or distributed ledger digital asset representing one (1) or
8 more governance jural relations defined by a protocol,
9 including governance powers, governance liabilities,
10 governance immunities or governance disabilities;

11

12 (xxxvii) "Governance token corpus" means the
13 legal characterization that a governance token indicia may
14 evidence. "Governance token corpus" shall include the
15 bundle of governance jural relations represented by a token
16 associated with holding, delegating or disposing of that
17 token;

18

19 (xxxviii) "Governance token indicia" means
20 observable data from which a governance token corpus may be
21 determined through characterization under this title.
22 "Governance token indicia" shall include token balances,

1 classes, role mappings, delegation records, vesting status
2 and protocol logic;

3

4 (xxxix) "Governing instrument" means the
5 articles of organization, bylaws, protocol rules or smart
6 contract code defining the governance jural relations,
7 governance mechanisms and decision-making procedures
8 applicable to a distributed legal digital asset;

9

10 (xl) "Governing instrument corpus" means the
11 legal characterization that a governing instrument indicia
12 may evidence. "Governing instrument corpus" shall include
13 the composite legal framework, governance jural relations
14 and decision-making procedures established by a governing
15 instrument;

16

17 (xli) "Governing instrument indicia" means
18 observable data from which a governing instrument corpus
19 may be determined through characterization under this
20 title. "Governing instrument indicia" shall include
21 recorded charters, bylaws, protocol rules, smart contract
22 code and amendment records;

23

1 (xlii) "Indicia" means observable data, records
2 or attributes recorded on or referenced by a distributed
3 ledger without regard to legal effect from which a corpus
4 may be determined through characterization under this
5 title;

6
7 (xliii) "Indicia corpus characterization" means
8 the determination of observable indicia on a distributed
9 ledger and the legal characterization that such indicia
10 evidence with respect to distributed ledger digital assets
11 and sealed tokens;

12
13 (xliv) "Jural relation" means a legal relation
14 between parties consisting of correlative pairs. "Jural
15 relation" shall include rights, duties, privileges, power,
16 liabilities, immunities, disabilities and defining the
17 positions of power;

18
19 (xlv) "Keyholder" means a person possessing the
20 power to control a private key for the purposes of
21 generating a cryptographic signature or otherwise
22 exercising control over a distributed ledger record;

23

1 (xlvi) "Keyholder corpus" means the collection
2 of cryptographic relationships and control capacities
3 representing the legal characterization that a keyholder
4 indicia may evidence with respect to a public address or
5 distributed ledger transaction;

6

7 (xlvii) "Keyholder indicia" means verifiable
8 evidence establishing keyholder control, including valid
9 cryptographic signatures and address signifiers from which
10 a keyholder corpus may be determined through
11 characterization under this title;

12

13 (xlviii) "Lex authenticandi" means the law of
14 authentication consisting of rules, protocols and standards
15 governing the verification of cryptographic signatures and
16 the attribution of acts within a distributed ledger system;

17

18 (xlix) "Lex situs" means the doctrine under
19 which the law of the jurisdiction where property remains
20 situated governs the property rights and interests in such
21 property;

22

1 (1) "Lex specialis" means a doctrine of
2 construction under which a provision governing specific
3 subject matter shall prevail over a general provision,
4 while permitting concurrent application of multiple legal
5 regimes;

6

7 (li) "Miner" means a node performing
8 computational work to propose blocks and validate
9 transactions according to a consensus protocol and maintain
10 state agreement which may receive protocol defined rewards;

11

12 (lii) "Multi-aspect analysis" means an
13 examination of digital assets and sealed tokens to identify
14 jurisdictional nexus and simultaneously applicable legal
15 regimes, coordinating subject matter characterization and
16 indicia corpus characterization;

17

18 (liii) "Node" means any hardware or software
19 arrangement communicating across a network to validate
20 transactions, participate in consensus, maintain data state
21 or provide network access that comprises participants,
22 keyholders and service providers;

23

1 (liv) "Oracle data" means attestation data
2 encoding, supplying or updating an evidentiary corpus as an
3 input to a protocol, smart contract or distributed ledger
4 digital asset, including data changing over time such as
5 market prices or operational signals;

6

7 (lv) "Oracle corpus" means the legal
8 characterization that an oracle indicia may evidence.
9 "Oracle corpus" shall include facts, res, jural relations
10 or state conditions used as inputs to protocols, smart
11 contracts or distributed ledger digital assets;

12

13 (lvi) "Oracle indicia" means observable data,
14 records or attributes from which an oracle corpus may be
15 determined through characterization under this title.
16 "Oracle indicia" shall include source attestations,
17 cryptographic signatures, cryptographic hashes, timestamps
18 and finality proofs;

19

20 (lvii) "Participant" means any person
21 transacting on, operating or using distributed ledger
22 infrastructure or maintaining any jural relation with a

1 digital asset, including the custody, control or beneficial
2 ownership of a digital asset;

3

4 (lviii) "Programmable unit" means a token
5 executing pursuant to encoded instructions, protocol logic
6 or smart contract logic recorded on a distributed ledger
7 and capable of implementing automated state transitions;

8

9 (lix) "Proposal" means a governance action
10 submitted for consideration under a governance mechanism,
11 the approval or rejection of which may create, modify or
12 extinguish jural relations among persons, organizations or
13 protocols;

14

15 (lx) "Proposal corpus" means the legal
16 characterization that a proposal indicia may evidence.
17 "Proposal corpus" shall include specific changes to
18 governance jural relations, protocol parameters or
19 organizational actions submitted for a decision under
20 governance mechanisms;

21

22 (lxi) "Proposal indicia" means observable data
23 from which a proposal corpus may be determined through

1 characterization under this title. "Proposal indicia" shall
2 include proposal texts, identifiers, metadata, sponsoring
3 addresses, submission timestamps and protocol code links;

4

5 (lxii) "Protocol" means a specification of
6 format, computational logic and control that is independent
7 of any programming language, deployed on or applied to the
8 operations of a distributed ledger. "Protocol" shall
9 include binary or text formats;

10

11 (lxiii) "Protocol and smart contract
12 characterization" means the determination of computational
13 elements by creating entries on a distributed ledger that
14 underlies the subject matter characterization and indicia
15 corpus characterization of digital assets;

16

17 (lxix) "Protocol and smart contract corpus"
18 means token data and distributed ledger content
19 representing the legal characterization that protocol and
20 smart contract indicia may evidence with respect to digital
21 assets;

22

1 (lxx) "Protocol and smart contract indicia"
2 means token data indicia and distributed ledger indicia
3 from which a protocol and a smart contract corpus may be
4 determined through characterization under this title;

5

6 (lxxi) "Protocol rules" means the machine
7 executable system enforced rules of a distributed ledger
8 consisting of protocol specification, smart contract code
9 and validation mechanisms that govern transaction
10 processing;

11

12 (lxxii) "Quorum" means the minimum participation
13 threshold required for a governance action to have legal
14 effect as defined by the governing instrument, protocol or
15 smart contract that governs a distributed ledger digital
16 asset;

17

18 (lxxiii) "Quorum corpus" means the legal
19 characterization that a quorum indicia may evidence.
20 "Quorum corpus" shall include the legal condition in which
21 participation in a governance action satisfies the minimum
22 threshold required for that governance action to carry
23 effect;

1

2 (lxxiv) "Quorum indicia" means observable data
3 from which a quorum corpus may be determined through
4 characterization under this title. "Quorum indicia" shall
5 include participation counts, voting weight aggregates,
6 threshold parameters, snapshot data and threshold
7 attestations;

8

9 (lxxv) "Res" means the thing, interest or
10 subject matter that is identified through subject matter
11 characterization to which jural relations, property rights
12 or other legal consequences may attach through operation of
13 the law;

14

15 (lxxvi) "Sealed instrument" means a sealed token
16 classified under this title as a bearer, registered,
17 claims, fiduciary or proprietary instrument that evidences
18 a sealed controllable electronic record and associated
19 jural relations;

20

21 (lxxvii) "Sealed instrument corpus" means the
22 legal characterization, transfer mechanics and jural
23 relations that represents the legal characterization that a

1 sealed instrument indicia may evidence with respect to
2 instruments classified under this title;

3

4 (lxxviii) "Sealed instrument indicia" means
5 observable data, records or attributes relating to bearer,
6 registered, claims, fiduciary or proprietary instruments
7 from which a sealed instrument corpus may be determined
8 through characterization under this title;

9

10 (lxxix) "Sealed interest" means a substantive
11 legal consequence created, transferred or modified by a
12 transaction that is evidenced by a sealed instrument,
13 including ownership, contractual or security interests that
14 embodies jural relations;

15

16 (lxxx) "Sealed interest corpus" means the
17 collection of ownership, contractual or jural relations
18 representing the legal characterization that a sealed
19 interest indicia may evidence with respect to digital
20 assets and sealed tokens;

21

22 (lxxxii) "Sealed interest indicia" means
23 observable data, records or attributes from which a sealed

1 interest corpus may be determined through characterization
2 under this title. "Sealed interest indicia" shall include
3 sealed instrument indicia and jural relations indicia;

4

5 (lxxxii) "Sealed jurisdictional nexus" means the
6 juridical connection between a keyholder and Wyoming that
7 arises from qualifying conditions sufficient to deem a
8 transaction situated in Wyoming for purposes of lex situs;

9

10 (lxxxiii) "Sealed jurisdictional nexus corpus"
11 means the collection of juridical relationships and
12 keyholder conditions that represents the legal
13 characterization that a sealed jurisdictional nexus indicia
14 may evidence with respect to digital assets;

15

16 (lxxxiv) "Sealed jurisdictional nexus indicia"
17 means verifiable evidence establishing a sealed
18 jurisdictional nexus from which a sealed jurisdictional
19 corpus may be determined through characterization under
20 this title;

21

22 (lxxxv) "Sealed keyholder" means a keyholder
23 establishing a sealed jurisdictional nexus to Wyoming and a

1 sealed keyholder lex situs with respect to a digital asset
2 or sealed token through qualifying conditions;

3

4 (lxxxvi) "Sealed keyholder lex situs" means the
5 jurisdictional anchor to Wyoming arising from the
6 cryptographic relationship, signing authority and nexus
7 factors of a sealed keyholder under this title;

8

9 (lxxxvii) "Sealed keyholder lex situs corpus"
10 means the collection of cryptographic relationships and
11 signing authorities representing the legal characterization
12 that a sealed keyholder lex situs indicia may evidence as
13 intangible property;

14

15 (lxxxviii) "Sealed keyholder lex situs indicia"
16 means verifiable evidence establishing a jurisdictional
17 anchor to Wyoming from which a sealed keyholder lex situs
18 corpus may be determined through characterization under
19 this title;

20

21 (lxxxix) "Sealed token" means a digital asset
22 possessing a sealed jurisdictional nexus and lex situs in

1 Wyoming independent of subject matter through at least one
2 (1) sealed keyholder or sealed token protocol;

3

4 (xc) "Sealed token data" means evidentiary data
5 and descriptive data recorded on or referenced by a
6 distributed ledger, potentially recorded without legal
7 effect, that constitutes subject matter distinct from
8 sealed token situs;

9

10 (xci) "Signifier" means the public address or
11 wallet address corresponding to a public key recorded
12 within a distributed ledger transaction to identify the
13 locus of signing authority;

14

15 (xcii) "Smart contract" means a self-executing
16 computer program deployed on a distributed ledger
17 executing, controlling or documenting events according to
18 predetermined conditions, whether or not such code
19 implements a protocol;

20

21 (xciii) "State transition" means changes from one
22 (1) recorded state to another state of a distributed ledger

1 or token that reflects the updates to balances, rights or
2 data under consensus protocol or rules;

3

4 (xciv) "Subject matter characterization" means
5 the determination of the composite legal package attaching
6 to digital assets. "Subject matter characterization" shall
7 include res, jural relations, incidents of ownership and
8 data maintained on a distributed ledger;

9

10 (xcv) "Subject matter corpus" means the
11 collection of property interests and jural relations
12 representing the legal characterization that a subject
13 matter indicia may evidence with respect to distributed
14 ledger digital assets;

15

16 (xcvi) "Subject matter indicia" means data,
17 records or attributes on a distributed ledger from which a
18 subject matter corpus may be determined through
19 characterization under this title;

20

21 (xcvii) "Subject matter lex situs" means the
22 jurisdictional anchor based on the nature, classification

1 or location of an object of legal right and all jural
2 relations that comprise such subject matter;

3

4 (xcviii) "Subject matter lex situs corpus" means
5 the collection of legal attributes and jural relations
6 representing the legal characterization that a subject
7 matter lex situs indicia may evidence;

8

9 (xcix) "Subject matter lex situs indicia" means
10 data, records, markers or legal instruments that identifies
11 the subject matter from which a subject matter lex situs
12 corpus may be determined under this title;

13

14 (c) "Token" means a fungible or non-fungible digital
15 representation of data, value, rights or evidence recorded
16 on a distributed ledger, with or without legal effect,
17 including cryptocurrencies or programmable units;

18

19 (ci) "Token protocol" means an autonomous,
20 self-executing protocol or smart contract defining token
21 behavior and transfer rules deployed to a distributed
22 ledger consisting of a collection of protocol values and
23 data;

1

2 (cii) "Token protocol corpus" means the
3 collection of executable logic and behavioral rules
4 representing the legal characterization that a token
5 protocol indicia may evidence with respect to distributed
6 ledger digital assets;

7

8 (ciii) "Token protocol indicia" means data,
9 records or attributes on a distributed ledger from which a
10 token protocol corpus may be determined through
11 characterization under this title;

12

13 (civ) "Token type" means a classification of a
14 token determined by functionality, attributes and transfer
15 mechanisms specifying the creation, transfer or redemption
16 of that token's value and data;

17

18 (cv) "Transaction corpus" means the collection of
19 cryptographic validations and state changes representing
20 the legal characterization that a transaction indicia may
21 evidence. "Transaction corpus" shall include distributed
22 ledger digital assets and sealed tokens;

23

1 (cvi) "Transaction indicia" means observable
2 data, records or attributes from which a transaction corpus
3 may be determined through characterization under this
4 title. "Transaction indicia" shall include cryptographic
5 signatures and finality;

6

7 (cvii) "UCC" means the Uniform Commercial Code
8 under title 34.1 of the Wyoming statutes;

9

10 (cviii) "Validator" means a node validating
11 transactions and ensuring the addition of only valid blocks
12 or analogous constructs according to a consensus protocol
13 to maintain ledger integrity;

14

15 (cix) "Vote" means the exercise of a governance
16 power through a distributed ledger transaction, smart
17 contract transaction or protocol mechanism by which the
18 holder participates in collective decision-making for a
19 digital asset;

20

21 (cx) "Vote corpus" means the legal
22 characterization that a vote indicia may evidence,
23 including the legal effect of exercising a governance power

1 through a vote or proposed changes to governance jural
2 relations or protocol parameters;

3

4 (cxi) "Vote indicia" means observable data from
5 which a vote corpus may be determined through
6 characterization under this title. "Vote indicia" shall
7 include ballot records, transaction calls, signature
8 records, choice encodings, voting weights and tallies.

9

10 **43-1-102. Construction of definitions.**

11

12 (a) The terms sealed keyholder, sealed keyholder lex
13 situs, sealed jurisdictional nexus and sealed token shall
14 be construed as components of an integrated jurisdictional
15 framework.

16

17 (b) The terms consensus protocol, decentralized
18 system, distributed ledger, miner, node, participant and
19 validator shall be construed as components of integrated
20 distributed ledger technology.

21

22 (c) The terms in subsections (a) and (b) of this
23 section are intentionally interdependent and shall be

1 construed as a unified whole. A court shall not hold any
2 terms in subsections (a) and (b) of this section as void
3 for circularity, ambiguity or vagueness solely because the
4 term references another term defined in this title.

5

6

ARTICLE 2

7

PURPOSE, SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY

8

9

43-1-201. Legislative findings.

10

11 (a) The legislature finds that:

12

13 (i) Digital assets recorded on distributed
14 ledgers possess distinct legal characteristics requiring
15 scope and interpretation rules different from digital
16 assets that are not recorded;

17

18 (ii) Distributed ledger and token architectures
19 require two interdependent asset class designations:

20

21 (A) Distributed ledger digital assets; and

22

23 (B) Sealed tokens.

1

2 (iii) A sealed token cannot exist without the
3 distributed ledger digital asset existing across multiple
4 sequential transactions and a distributed ledger digital
5 asset requires sealed tokens for scope and interpretive
6 analysis of legal effects inherent to distributed ledgers
7 and token implementation;

8

9 (iv) Digital assets on distributed ledgers
10 require multi-aspect analysis examining property,
11 commercial law, jurisdictional nexus and other legal
12 dimensions simultaneously;

13

14 (v) A single digital asset may have multiple lex
15 situs and multiple lex specialis applied to different
16 aspects, analogous to multiple regimes, including those
17 provided in the UCC;

18

19 (vi) Traditional single-situs and single-regime
20 analysis cannot adequately address digital assets existing
21 simultaneously across multiple nodes, jurisdictions and
22 legal frameworks;

23

1 (vii) Digital asset implementations do not fall
2 into discrete legal categories, requiring designation of
3 sealed instruments, sealed tokens and sealed jural
4 relations to address hybrid and composite legal
5 characteristics.

6
7 **43-1-202. Purpose.**

8
9 (a) The purposes of this title are to:

10
11 (i) Establish a sealed token framework
12 recognizing the distinct and interdependent nature of
13 distributed ledger digital assets and sealed tokens;

14
15 (ii) Provide designation of sealed instruments,
16 sealed tokens and sealed jural relations to address digital
17 assets exhibiting characteristics across multiple legal
18 categories;

19
20 (iii) Provide an analysis framework of corpus
21 and indicia for interpretation of digital assets having
22 non-standard legal forms;

23

1 (iv) Provide both classification of designated
2 digital assets and an analysis framework for token
3 classification through interpretation of functionality and
4 legal effects;

5

6 (v) Provide scope and interpretation rules
7 accommodating multi-aspect, multi-situs and multi-regime
8 analysis;

9

10 (vi) Provide legal clarity for courts, agencies,
11 auditors and administrators;

12

13 (vii) Promote legal certainty and predictability
14 for digital asset property rights and commercial
15 transactions;

16

17 (viii) Establish Wyoming as a jurisdiction
18 providing sophisticated legal treatment of distributed
19 ledger technologies.

20

21 **43-1-203. General scope and applicability.**

22

1 (a) This title shall apply to digital assets that
2 operate on or through a distributed ledger system.

3

4 (b) This title shall designate and classify digital
5 assets cryptographically recorded on a distributed ledger
6 as distributed ledger digital assets and sealed tokens and
7 shall govern the classification, rights, interests,
8 obligations and jural relations associated with such assets
9 and token data.

10

11 (c) This title shall apply to all assets and data
12 recorded on or referenced by a distributed ledger, whether
13 or not such assets or data carries legal effect.

14

15 (d) This title shall provide the interpretive
16 framework to determine the scope, applicability and legal
17 effect of the assets and data.

18

19 (e) This title shall establish lex situs and nexus in
20 Wyoming for keyholders as provided under W.S. 43-1-302.

21

22 (f) The scope of a distributed ledger digital asset
23 or sealed token shall be characterized simultaneously by

1 the distributed ledger digital asset or sealed token's
2 record on the distributed ledger and by the
3 characterization of the distributed ledger digital asset or
4 sealed token's subject matter. Each distributed ledger
5 digital asset and sealed token shall have different scope
6 determinations, lex situs and applicable regimes of law.

7

8 (g) Distributed ledger digital assets and sealed
9 tokens shall be classified according to the token
10 classifications and token taxonomies established throughout
11 this title.

12

13 (h) The relationship between this title and title 34,
14 chapter 29 of the Wyoming statutes shall be as provided
15 under W.S. 43-1-211.

16

17 (j) The relationship between this title and the UCC
18 and other applicable law shall be as provided under W.S.
19 43-1-211 and article 3 of this chapter.

20

21 (k) The scope provisions of this section shall be
22 subordinate to:

23

1 (i) The sealed scope hierarchy established under
2 W.S. 43-1-204 through 43-1-209;

3

4 (ii) The multi-aspect framework established
5 under W.S. 43-1-210.

6

7 (m) The sealed scope hierarchy established under W.S.
8 43-1-204 through 43-1-209 shall control all scope
9 determinations under this title. The multi-aspect framework
10 under W.S. 43-1-210 shall supplement the sealed scope
11 hierarchy for all scope and interpretive analysis under
12 this title.

13

14 (n) Where this section conflicts with the sealed
15 scope hierarchy under W.S. 43-1-204 through 43-1-209 or the
16 multi-aspect framework under W.S. 43-1-210, the sealed
17 scope hierarchy and multi-aspect framework shall control.

18

19 **43-1-204. Sealed scope hierarchy.**

20

21 (a) This section shall establish and designate the
22 sealed scope hierarchy governing the application and
23 interpretation of all statutes and provisions under this

1 title. The sealed scope hierarchy shall apply in the
2 following order of precedence:

3

4 (i) Statute scope, as provided under W.S.
5 43-1-205;

6

7 (ii) Sealed jurisdictional nexus scope, as
8 provided under W.S. 43-1-206;

9

10 (iii) Distributed-ledger-digital-asset scope, as
11 provided under W.S. 43-1-207;

12

13 (iv) Subject matter scope, as provided under
14 W.S. 43-1-208;

15

16 (v) Legal regime scope, as provided under W.S.
17 43-1-209.

18

19 (b) The sealed scope hierarchy under this section
20 shall govern courts, agencies, auditors, administrators and
21 other interpreters in applying this title. Each tier shall
22 operate as a separate analytical layer and completion of

1 analysis at one (1) tier shall constitute a prerequisite to
2 analysis at the subsequent tier.

3

4 **43-1-205. Statute scope.**

5

6 (a) Statute scope shall provide the threshold
7 determination of applicable law, including whether this
8 title, title 34, chapter 29 of the Wyoming statutes, the
9 UCC or other bodies of law govern a transaction, asset or
10 relation.

11

12 (b) The statute scope analysis shall precede all
13 other scope determinations under the sealed scope hierarchy
14 provided by W.S. 43-1-204 through 43-1-209.

15

16 **43-1-206. Sealed lex situs scope.**

17

18 (a) The sealed lex situs scope shall provide the
19 framework to determine:

20

21 (i) Whether a digital asset on a distributed
22 ledger falls within this title;

23

1 (ii) The establishment of lex situs for
2 jurisdiction in this state over sealed tokens, distributed
3 ledger digital assets, key holders and other sealed
4 framework designations;

5

6 (iii) The characterization of sealed framework
7 designations as intangible property for jurisdictional
8 nexus purposes that shall be separate from subject matter
9 characterization under W.S. 43-1-208.

10

11 **43-1-207. Distributed ledger digital asset scope.**

12

13 (a) The distributed ledger digital asset scope shall
14 provide the framework to determine the level of
15 interpretive analysis.

16

17 (b) The distributed ledger digital asset scope shall
18 determine whether classification and interpretation occur
19 at the level of:

20

21 (i) A distributed ledger digital asset,
22 identifying and classifying multiple tokens across

1 sequential transactions as the same digital asset
2 construct; or

3

4 (ii) An individual token or sealed token
5 associated with this state.

6

7 (c) The distributed ledger digital asset scope shall
8 include analysis of legal effects arising from execution of
9 legal instruments, interests or jural relations occurring
10 over multiple distributed ledger transactions associated
11 with multiple tokens.

12

13 **43-1-208. Subject matter scope.**

14

15 (a) The subject matter scope shall provide the
16 framework to:

17

18 (i) Determine the classification of distributed
19 ledger digital assets and sealed tokens by identifying the
20 legal characterization that an indicia may evidence as a
21 corpus;

22

1 (ii) Conduct a multi-aspect analysis, which
2 shall include the evaluation of functionality, property
3 interests, res and jural relations;

4

5 (iii) Characterize the subject matter or res as
6 intangible, tangible or real property, which shall proceed
7 independently of the sealed lex situs characterization
8 under W.S. 43-1-206(a)(iii).

9

10 **43-1-209. Legal regime scope.**

11

12 The legal regime scope shall apply a multi-regime analysis
13 as provided under W.S. 43-1-301 to determine the applicable
14 law for each legal dimension of a distributed ledger
15 digital asset or sealed token established under the subject
16 matter scope under W.S. 43-1-208.

17

18 **43-1-210. Multi-aspect framework.**

19

20 (a) The sealed scope hierarchy established under W.S.
21 43-1-204 through 43-1-209 shall be supplemented by the
22 multi-aspect framework for scope and interpretive matters
23 as provided under provisions of this title.

1

2 (b) The multi-aspect framework shall apply in
3 addition to the sealed scope hierarchy and shall provide
4 analytical methodology at each tier of the sealed scope
5 hierarchy.

6

7 (c) The sealed scope hierarchy shall constitute the
8 threshold analytical sequence within the multi-aspect
9 framework.

10

11 (d) The sealed scope hierarchy shall be applied
12 strictly and in order as a prerequisite to detailed
13 multi-aspect analysis under this title.

14

15 (e) Each tier of the sealed scope hierarchy shall be
16 analyzed through the multi-aspect framework provisions of
17 this title.

18

19 **43-1-211. Relationship to title 34, chapter 29 of the**
20 **Wyoming statutes; applicability.**

21

22 (a) This title shall govern digital assets recorded
23 on or referenced by a distributed ledger through

1 cryptographic procedures. Digital assets recorded on or
2 referenced by a distributed ledger through cryptographic
3 procedures shall be designated as distributed ledger
4 digital assets, sealed tokens and the digital assets and
5 sealed tokens derivatives under this title.

6

7 (b) Digital assets governed by this title shall be
8 excluded from the provisions of title 34, chapter 29 of the
9 Wyoming statutes except as provided by subsections (d)
10 through (f) of this section.

11

12 (c) Digital assets that are not recorded on or
13 referenced by a distributed ledger through cryptographic
14 procedures shall continue to be governed by title 34,
15 chapter 29 of the Wyoming statutes and this title shall not
16 apply to those assets.

17

18 (d) The securities provisions under title 34, chapter
19 29 of the Wyoming statutes shall continue to be applicable
20 and in force and effect as applied to distributed ledger
21 digital assets, supplemented by this title. Where this
22 title supplements the securities provisions under title 34,

1 chapter 29 of the Wyoming statutes, this title shall
2 control.

3

4 (e) The currency provisions under title 34, chapter
5 29 of the Wyoming statutes shall continue to be applicable
6 and in force and effect as applied to distributed ledger
7 digital assets, supplemented by this title. Where this
8 title supplements the currency provisions under title 34,
9 chapter 29 of the Wyoming statutes, this title shall
10 control.

11

12 (f) The utility token provisions and consumer
13 protection provisions under title 34, chapter 29 of the
14 Wyoming statutes as applied to digital assets shall remain
15 in force and effect and shall be applicable to distributed
16 ledger digital assets under this title.

17

18 ARTICLE 3

19 GENERAL INTERPRETIVE PROVISIONS

20

21 **43-1-301. Multi-situs and multi-lex specialis**
22 **framework.**

23

1 (a) A distributed ledger digital asset or a sealed
2 token may simultaneously be subject to multiple and
3 differing lex specialis. Each distributed ledger digital
4 asset and sealed token shall govern distinct legal aspects
5 or domains.

6

7 (b) A sealed token, sealed instrument or sealed jural
8 relation may evidence, record or document any interest,
9 right, obligation or relation cognizable under law.

10

11 (c) No limitation in this title shall restrict the
12 legal regimes applicable to a distributed ledger digital
13 asset or a sealed token to any enumerated category.

14

15 (d) Multiple legal regimes may apply simultaneously
16 to the same distributed ledger digital asset or sealed
17 token, including:

18

19 (i) Property law;

20

21 (ii) Contract law;

22

23 (iii) Commercial law under the UCC;

1

2 (iv) Federal and state securities law;

3

4 (v) Commodities law;

5

6 (vi) Tax law;

7

8 (vii) Bankruptcy law;

9

10 (viii) Any other specialized statutory or
11 regulatory regimes.

12

13 (e) Multiple UCC articles may apply to a distributed
14 ledger digital asset and a sealed token as determined by:

15

16 (i) The distributed ledger digital asset's
17 functionality, classification and taxonomy under this
18 title; and

19

20 (ii) The sealed token's functionality,
21 classification and taxonomy under this title.

22

1 (f) The lex specialis from other bodies of law may
2 simultaneously apply to the same distributed ledger digital
3 asset or sealed token according to the legal domain or
4 subject matter involved. Each applicable lex specialis
5 shall govern its respective legal domain independently,
6 without regard to other lex specialis by applying to the
7 same distributed ledger digital asset or sealed token.

8

9 (g) Each applicable lex specialis and choice of law
10 principle shall operate independently. Courts and
11 administrators shall engage in a multi-layered legal
12 analysis rather than collapsing a distributed ledger
13 digital asset or sealed token into a single legal
14 characterization.

15

16 (h) Distributed ledger digital assets and sealed
17 tokens under this title shall be characterized by the
18 simultaneous application of multiple lex situs and multiple
19 lex specialis. Federal and state regulatory regimes may
20 apply concurrently to different aspects of the same
21 distributed ledger digital asset or sealed token. Multiple
22 state regimes may apply concurrently where jurisdictional
23 nexus exists under each regime. Simultaneous application of

1 multiple regimes shall not create conflict where each
2 regime governs a distinct legal dimension established under
3 the subject matter scope. The simultaneous application of
4 the doctrine of conflict of laws and the doctrine of choice
5 of law shall govern the legal characterization and
6 interpretation of distributed ledger digital assets and
7 sealed tokens under this title. Application under this
8 subsection shall be analogous to how the UCC permits
9 multiple articles to apply concurrently to the same
10 property or transaction.

11

12 (j) The framework established under this title shall
13 accommodate an analysis under any legal regime, present or
14 future and shall apply to interests or relations evidenced
15 through distributed ledger technology.

16

17 **43-1-302. Sealed lex situs.**

18

19 (a) A distributed ledger digital asset or sealed
20 token shall have lex situs scope in this state if a
21 keyholder having nexus with Wyoming cryptographically signs
22 or controls such asset or token.

23

1 (b) The sealed lex situs corpus of a distributed
2 ledger digital asset or sealed token shall constitute
3 intangible property under Wyoming law. This designation
4 shall attach to the token by virtue of the cryptographic
5 signature and keyholder nexus, independent of:

6

7 (i) The nature, content or legal effect of any
8 data or information recorded on or associated with such
9 token;

10

11 (ii) Whether such data or information
12 constitutes evidentiary information, descriptive
13 information or information having legal effect under this
14 title or any other law.

15

16 (c) A distributed ledger digital asset or a sealed
17 token may have:

18

19 (i) A sealed lex situs in Wyoming as intangible
20 property under subsection (b) of this section; and

21

22 (ii) A separate lex situs determination under
23 the subject matter scope for any interest, right,

1 obligation or relation evidenced by the data or information
2 recorded on or associated with such token.

3

4 (d) The sealed lex situs as intangible property under
5 this section shall exist and have legal force and effect
6 independent of any legal characterization or effect of the
7 recorded data or information. The sealed lex situs corpus
8 shall provide the jurisdictional foundation for application
9 of this title regardless of whether the recorded
10 information:

11

12 (i) Has immediate legal effect;

13

14 (ii) Has potential future legal effect;

15

16 (iii) Has no legal effect; or

17

18 (iv) Consists solely of evidentiary information
19 or descriptive information.

20

21 (e) For purposes of establishing a sealed lex situs
22 under this section, a keyholder nexus with this state may
23 include:

1

2 (i) A domicile or residence in Wyoming;

3

4 (ii) An organization or formation under Wyoming
5 law;

6

7 (iii) A registration or qualification under
8 Wyoming law;

9

10 (iv) Any other connections establishing
11 jurisdiction under Wyoming law;

12

13 (v) A nexus established consistent with the
14 requirements and limitations under the sealed token lex
15 situs nexus privacy provisions as provided in this title.

16

17 (f) A sealed lex situs under this section shall
18 constitute the jurisdictional predicate for application of
19 this title. This title shall apply to a distributed ledger
20 digital asset or a sealed token only where a sealed lex
21 situs exists under this section.

22

1 **43-1-303. Distributed ledger as an evidentiary**
2 **medium.**

3
4 (a) A distributed ledger may record, evidence,
5 document or provide descriptive attributes for any
6 information, data, state, condition, process or transition,
7 whether or not such information carries legal force and
8 effect.

9
10 (b) Any information recorded on a distributed ledger
11 may include evidentiary information and descriptive
12 information, which shall be distinct categories under this
13 title.

14
15 (c) Any recording on a distributed ledger shall not,
16 by itself:

17
18 (i) Establish legal force and effect;

19

20 (ii) Create a property interest, contract,
21 obligation or jural relation; or

22

1 (iii) Require legal classification solely by
2 virtue of the recording.

3
4 (d) Any legal force and effect may arise through
5 subsequent analysis determining that recorded information
6 meets applicable standards under a regime of law.

7
8 (e) This title shall distinguish between:

9
10 (i) The recording function of a distributed
11 ledger as an evidentiary medium;

12
13 (ii) The evidentiary standards applicable to the
14 recorded information; and

15
16 (iii) The legal characterization and effects, if
17 any, arising from subsequent analysis of the recorded
18 information.

19
20 (f) No court, agency or administrator shall presume
21 that information recorded on a distributed ledger
22 constitutes a security, commodity, financial instrument or
23 other regulated category solely by virtue of the recording

1 or solely by virtue of meeting the evidentiary standards.
2 The legal characterization of a distributed ledger shall
3 require analysis of functionality, legal effects and
4 subject matter under this title.

5

6 **43-1-304. Categories of recorded information.**

7

8 (a) Any information recorded on a distributed ledger
9 may include:

10

11 (i) Evidentiary information meeting standards
12 under this title, which may subsequently support the legal
13 force and effect upon application of an applicable regime
14 of law;

15

16 (ii) Descriptive information providing
17 attributes, metadata, state documentation or processual
18 data;

19

20 (iii) Information having immediate legal force
21 and effect under this title or any other applicable law;

22

1 (iv) Information having potential future legal
2 effect, including records that may become relevant to legal
3 proceedings, transactions or determinations.

4
5 (b) Any evidentiary information or descriptive
6 information recorded on a distributed ledger without
7 immediate legal force and effect may subsequently acquire
8 legal significance through:

9
10 (i) Subsequent determinations that any recorded
11 information meets evidentiary standards sufficient for
12 application of a regime of law;

13
14 (ii) Later associations with a sealed token or a
15 sealed jural relation;

16
17 (iii) Use as evidence in legal proceedings;

18
19 (iv) Incorporations into a transaction or a
20 legal determination;

21
22 (v) Any other circumstances establishing legal
23 relevance.

1

2 (c) The sealed scope hierarchy under W.S. 43-1-204
3 through 43-1-209 shall provide the framework for
4 determining whether and when information recorded on a
5 distributed ledger constitutes a legal object, carries
6 legal effect or requires subject matter classification
7 under this title.

8

9 **43-1-305. Sealed instrument classification.**

10

11 (a) Classification of a token as an instrument under
12 this title shall relate to the transfer mechanism of the
13 token.

14

15 (b) Instrument classification shall apply regardless
16 of whether the token carries immediate legal force and
17 effect.

18

19 (c) Each token shall have a transfer mechanism
20 classification under the instrument framework of this title
21 to provide the foundation for subsequent legal analysis,
22 including potential treatment under the UCC.

23

1 **43-1-306. Non-repudiation and data integrity.**

2

3 (a) A distributed ledger shall constitute a permanent
4 record of transactions, tokens and references to
5 transactions and tokens. References to transactions and
6 tokens shall include pointers to data, whether on-ledger or
7 off-ledger.

8

9 (b) The provisions of this title shall govern:

10

11 (i) Issues arising when data referenced by a
12 pointer constitutes mutable or repudiable data;

13

14 (ii) Non-repudiable data and verification
15 standards;

16

17 (iii) The relationship between mutable data and
18 evidentiary information and descriptive information under
19 this title.

20

21 (c) The issues addressed under subsection (b) of this
22 section shall constitute foundational issues and shall
23 apply to all legal analysis under this title.

1

2 **43-1-307. Distributed legal effects; multi-aspect**
3 **analysis.**

4

5 (a) Distributed ledger and token implementations
6 shall not produce legal objects in discrete forms with
7 distinct legal effects. Legal effects, rights and
8 obligations arising from distributed ledger systems shall
9 be fragmented across network nodes, protocol layers and
10 sequential transactions. Traditional legal methodologies
11 premised on unitary instruments or single-regime
12 classification shall be insufficient to address the
13 technical realities of distributed ledger architectures.

14

15 (b) Classifications and characterizations of a
16 distributed ledger digital asset or a sealed token shall
17 require multi-aspect analysis as provided under this title.

18

19 (c) The following designations under this title shall
20 provide the framework for rendering distributed legal
21 effects coherent under Wyoming law:

22

23 (i) Distributed ledger digital assets;

1

2 (ii) Sealed tokens;

3

4 (iii) Sealed instruments;

5

6 (iv) Sealed interests;

7

8 (v) Sealed jural relations.

9

10 **43-1-308. Application of distributed ledger digital**
11 **asset scope.**

12

13 (a) The distributed ledger digital asset scope shall
14 apply where the operational realities of distributed ledger
15 systems and token implementation require aggregate analysis
16 across multiple tokens to provide comprehensive
17 interpretation of what constitutes a digital asset.

18

19 (b) Traditional legal analysis treating a token as a
20 single discrete unit shall not provide a sufficient basis
21 for interpretation where a distributed ledger digital asset
22 arises from multiple distributed ledger transactions
23 occurring:

1

2

(i) Sequentially;

3

4

(ii) Concurrently; or

5

6

(iii) Across multiple parties.

7

8

CHAPTER 2

9

SEALED TOKEN LEX SITUS NEXUS PRIVACY PROVISIONS

10

11

ARTICLE 1

12

FOUNDATIONAL ANCHORS AND NEXUS PROPERTY CLASSIFICATIONS

13

14

43-2-101. Scope.

15

16

(a) This chapter shall provide the threshold

17

framework for determining the jurisdictional location of

18

digital assets and the protection of keyholder privacy

19

under this title.

20

21

(b) The provisions of this chapter shall govern the

22

establishment of Wyoming lex situs for all distributed

1 ledger digital assets and sealed tokens possessing a sealed
2 jurisdictional nexus to this state.

3

4 (c) This chapter shall apply to the forensic
5 discovery of jurisdictional vectors and the
6 characterization of computational corpus as intangible
7 property located in Wyoming.

8

9 **43-2-102. Construction and interpretation.**

10

11 (a) The provisions of this chapter shall be construed
12 to promote technical clarity and legal certainty for
13 participants exercising control over distributed ledger
14 records through cryptographic procedures.

15

16 (b) The interpretation of jurisdictional nexus shall
17 prioritize the establishment of a legal environment that
18 recognizes the distinct characteristics of distributed
19 ledger technology.

20

21 (c) Courts and agencies shall apply the multi-aspect
22 hierarchy provided under chapter 1 of this title when

1 evaluating computational indicia to identify lex situs
2 anchors.

3

4 **43-2-103. Sealed keyholder lex situs; establishment**
5 **and designation.**

6

7 (a) A sealed keyholder shall establish a sealed
8 keyholder lex situs in Wyoming upon establishment of a
9 sealed jurisdictional nexus under W.S. 43-2-105 and
10 attainment of a sealed keyholder status under this chapter.

11

12 (b) A sealed keyholder lex situs shall anchor the
13 sealed keyholder lex situs corpus to Wyoming for all
14 purposes under Wyoming law, including jurisdiction, choice
15 of law, perfection, priority and attachment of security
16 interests.

17

18 **43-2-104. Sealed keyholder lex situs corpus;**
19 **intangible property designation.**

20

21 (a) The sealed keyholder lex situs corpus shall be
22 designated as intangible property located in Wyoming.

23

1 (b) The designation under subsection (a) of this
2 section shall attach automatically upon attainment of a
3 sealed keyholder status under this chapter and shall
4 require no additional filing, registration or action by the
5 sealed keyholder.

6

7 (c) The sealed keyholder lex situs corpus designated
8 as intangible property under subsection (a) of this section
9 shall include:

10

11 (i) The cryptographic relationship between the
12 private key and its corresponding public key;

13

14 (ii) The juridical position of control over one
15 (1) or more public addresses and associated distributed
16 ledger records;

17

18 (iii) The capacity to generate valid
19 cryptographic signatures recognized by the applicable
20 protocol;

21

1 (iv) All jural relations attaching to the sealed
2 keyholder's control over distributed ledger digital assets
3 or sealed tokens; and

4
5 (v) All rights, powers, privileges and
6 immunities arising from the sealed keyholder's status under
7 this title.

8
9 (d) For purposes of establishing the jurisdictional
10 anchor of the sealed keyholder lex situs corpus as a
11 distinct object of legal right, the sealed keyholder lex
12 situs corpus shall be deemed to be located in Wyoming.

13
14 **43-2-105. Sealed keyholder lex situs; sealed**
15 **jurisdictional nexus; sealed keyholders.**

16
17 (a) The laws of this state shall govern the sealed
18 keyholder lex situs corpus of any distributed ledger
19 digital asset or sealed token having a sealed
20 jurisdictional nexus to Wyoming as provided in this
21 section.

22

1 (b) A sealed jurisdictional nexus to this state shall
2 exist only when not less than one (1) keyholder of a
3 distributed ledger digital asset or a sealed token
4 satisfies one (1) or more of the following conditions:

5

6 (i) The keyholder, if a natural person,
7 maintains a domicile within this state;

8

9 (ii) The keyholder, if a legal entity, has been
10 organized, formed or registered to do business under the
11 laws of this state;

12

13 (iii) The keyholder serves as a custodian or is
14 licensed, chartered or authorized to conduct business under
15 the laws of this state; or

16

17 (iv) The keyholder operates as a qualified
18 custodian maintaining its principal place of business
19 within this state.

20

21 (c) A keyholder satisfying any condition enumerated
22 in subsection (b) of this section shall be designated as a
23 sealed keyholder with respect to the distributed ledger

1 digital asset or sealed token for which the keyholder has
2 demonstrated a sealed jurisdictional nexus.

3

4 (d) A contractual designation of Wyoming law as the
5 governing law of a digital asset or token transaction shall
6 not establish a sealed jurisdictional nexus to this state
7 absent not less than one (1) sealed keyholder as provided
8 in subsection (c) of this section.

9

10 (e) When no keyholder qualifies as a sealed keyholder
11 under subsection (c) of this section, no sealed
12 jurisdictional nexus to this state shall exist and this
13 title shall not govern the distributed ledger digital asset
14 or sealed token.

15

16 **43-2-106. Subject matter lex situs; independent**
17 **determination.**

18

19 (a) A subject matter lex situs shall be determined
20 independently of a sealed keyholder lex situs and shall
21 depend upon the nature, classification, location or
22 governing law of the subject matter itself.

23

1 (b) A subject matter lex situs shall be established
2 through examination of subject matter lex situs indicia.

3

4 (c) Upon determination of a subject matter lex situs,
5 the law of the determined jurisdiction shall govern the
6 subject matter lex situs corpus, independent of the law
7 governing the sealed keyholder lex situs corpus.

8

9 **43-2-107. Analytical independence of lex situs**
10 **anchors.**

11

12 (a) A sealed keyholder lex situs corpus and a subject
13 matter lex situs corpus shall constitute distinct
14 analytical objects requiring independent legal treatment at
15 separate stages of the analysis.

16

17 (b) A sealed token or a distributed ledger digital
18 asset may simultaneously have:

19

20 (i) A sealed keyholder lex situs in Wyoming; and

21

1 (ii) A subject matter lex situs in Wyoming,
2 another jurisdiction or multiple jurisdictions depending on
3 the components of the subject matter.

4

5 (c) In analyzing a distributed ledger digital asset
6 or a sealed token under this title:

7

8 (i) A sealed keyholder lex situs shall be
9 evaluated first at the transaction level through
10 examination of sealed keyholder lex situs indicia;

11

12 (ii) A subject matter lex situs shall be
13 evaluated subsequently through examination of subject
14 matter lex situs indicia; and

15

16 (iii) The legal consequences applicable to each
17 corpus shall be determined under the law of each respective
18 situs and any applicable lex specialis.

19

20 **43-2-108. Simultaneous lex specialis.**

21

22 (a) A simultaneous lex specialis may occur when
23 different legal regimes apply distinct bodies of law to the

1 same subject matter or a sealed keyholder lex situs corpus
2 is based on the specific jural relations analyzed.

3

4 (b) The application of Wyoming law as lex specialis
5 to the sealed keyholder lex situs corpus shall not preclude
6 the simultaneous application of other lex specialis to the
7 subject matter lex situs corpus.

8

9 (c) Where simultaneous lex specialis applies, a court
10 shall harmonize the applicable legal regimes to the maximum
11 extent permitted by law, giving legal force and effect to
12 the specific purposes and protections of each regime.

13

14 (d) The designation of a sealed keyholder lex situs
15 corpus as intangible property under this title shall
16 constitute a specific application of lex specialis for
17 jurisdictional and property location purposes under Wyoming
18 law.

19

20 **43-2-109. Discovery of lex situs; forensic analysis.**

21

22 (a) The establishment of a sealed keyholder lex situs
23 or a subject matter lex situs shall result from a discovery

1 process involving forensic and legal analysis of the
2 computational corpus to identify verifiable jurisdictional
3 vectors.

4

5 (b) The discovery process shall utilize the
6 multi-aspect hierarchy to examine computational indicia for
7 the purpose of synthesizing the legal conclusion of a
8 sealed token or identifying a subject matter situs.

9

10 (c) A party to the action or a court conducting a
11 discovery process may rely upon cryptographic signatures,
12 metadata, content identifiers, zero-knowledge proofs or
13 third-party attestations maintained within the
14 computational corpus.

15

16 (d) The discovery process may extend to an associated
17 sealed token to the extent necessary to determine the legal
18 consequences of the associated sealed token's interaction
19 with a sealed token's sealed keyholder lex situs corpus.

20

21 **43-2-110. Evidentiary standards and presumptions.**

22

1 (a) Computational indicia generated through
2 cryptographic embodiment or forensic discovery shall
3 constitute prima facie evidence of the corresponding lex
4 situs corpus, subject to rebuttal through evidence of fraud
5 or technical malfunction.

6

7 (b) The discovery of a sealed keyholder having a
8 Wyoming nexus shall create a rebuttable presumption of a
9 Wyoming lex situs for the sealed keyholder lex situs
10 corpus.

11

12 (c) Nonrepudiable data recorded within the
13 computational corpus shall satisfy the requirements for
14 attribution and authentication under title 40, chapter 30
15 of the Wyoming statutes and the Uniform Electronic
16 Transactions Act.

17

18 **43-2-111. Associated sealed tokens; applicability and**
19 **scope.**

20

21 (a) An associated sealed token connection shall exist
22 only where the legal consequences of an associated token

1 affect the sealed token's sealed keyholder lex situs
2 corpus, subject matter lex situs corpus or jural relations.

3

4 (b) Association through metadata, off-chain
5 references or non-transactional mechanisms shall not
6 establish the status of an associated sealed token under
7 this title.

8

9 (c) Any associated sealed token engaging in a
10 distributed ledger transaction with a sealed token may be
11 subject to a legal analysis under this title to determine
12 the legal consequences of that transaction.

13

14 (d) An associated sealed token shall not become a
15 sealed token by virtue of the associated sealed token's
16 association and shall remain subject to the law of the
17 associated sealed token's own lex situs unless the
18 associated sealed token independently satisfies the
19 designation factors.

20

21

ARTICLE 2

22

KEYHOLDER SITUS

23

1 **43-2-201. Establishment of keyholder situs.**

2

3 (a) A keyholder shall establish keyholder situs by
4 executing a cryptographic signature using a private key
5 over a distributed ledger transaction or other data.

6

7 (b) A distributed ledger transaction shall contain a
8 signifier in the form of a public address or wallet address
9 corresponding to the public key derived from the signing
10 private key.

11

12 (c) A keyholder may demonstrate continued control
13 over a public address by re-signing a challenge using the
14 same private key, which shall constitute non-repudiable
15 proof of control.

16

17 **43-2-202. Characterization of keyholder situs corpus**
18 **and indicia.**

19

20 (a) The corpus of a keyholder situs shall include the
21 mathematical relationship between a private key and its
22 corresponding public key and the juridical position of
23 control over associated records.

1

2 (b) The indicia of keyholder situs shall include a
3 valid cryptographic signature, a public address derived
4 from the corresponding public key and protocol-specific
5 validation confirming mathematical integrity.

6

7 (c) A keyholder situs indicia satisfying subsection
8 (b) of this section shall constitute prima facie evidence
9 of a keyholder situs and an intent to sign at the time the
10 signature was executed.

11

12 **43-2-203. Signing and finalization; juridical stages.**

13

14 (a) The act of cryptographically signing a
15 distributed ledger transaction and the act of finalizing
16 the distributed ledger transaction shall constitute
17 distinct juridical events with separate legal consequences.

18

19 (b) A cryptographic signature applied to a
20 transaction that has not been finalized shall establish a
21 keyholder situs but shall not create, transfer or
22 extinguish any jural relation.

23

1 (c) A distributed ledger transaction shall achieve
2 legal validity and operative effect only upon finalization
3 as determined by the applicable protocol's consensus
4 mechanism or validation rules.

5
6 (d) Where a transaction has been signed but not
7 finalized and subsequently finalized without alteration,
8 the keyholder situs established at signing shall be
9 presumed to continue through finalization.

10

11 CHAPTER 3

12 SEALED JURAL RELATIONS PROVISIONS

13

14 ARTICLE 1

15 GENERAL PROVISIONS

16

17 **43-3-101. Scope.**

18

19 (a) This chapter shall apply to all governance jural
20 relations, governance tokens and governance mechanisms
21 recorded on or referenced by a distributed ledger.

22

1 (b) The provisions of this chapter shall govern the
2 legal characterization of collective decision-making
3 processes associated with distributed ledger digital assets
4 and sealed tokens.

5
6 **43-3-102. Construction and interpretation.**

7
8 (a) Courts, agencies and other interpreters shall
9 construe the provisions of this chapter to promote legal
10 certainty for participants in decentralized governance
11 systems.

12
13 (b) Interpretation of governance jural relations
14 shall proceed through the application of multi-aspect
15 analysis and the hierarchy of scope established under
16 article 1, chapter 1 of this title.

17
18 (c) The determination of any governance jural
19 relation shall require an analysis of a governance indicia
20 to establish the existence of the corresponding governance
21 corpus.

22
23 ARTICLE 2

1 GOVERNANCE PROVISIONS

2

3 **43-3-201. Governance token; classification; legal**
4 **effect.**

5

6 (a) A governance token shall constitute a sealed
7 token or distributed ledger digital asset that represents
8 one (1) or more governance jural relations, including
9 governance powers, governance liabilities, governance
10 immunities or governance disabilities, with respect to a
11 distributed ledger digital asset, sealed token, protocol,
12 smart contract or organization.

13

14 (b) The governance token corpus of a governance token
15 shall comprise the bundle of governance jural relations
16 represented by the token, including governance powers,
17 governance liabilities, governance immunities and
18 governance disabilities associated with holding, delegating
19 or disposing of the governance token.

20

21 (c) The governance token indicia of a governance
22 token shall include token balances, token classes, on-chain
23 role mappings, delegation records, vesting status and

1 protocol logic linking tokens to governance mechanisms,
2 from which a governance token corpus may be determined
3 through characterization under this title.

4

5 (d) A governance token may evidence one (1) or more
6 of the following governance jural relations:

7

8 (i) Governance power, including voting power,
9 proposal power, delegation power and veto power;

10

11 (ii) Governance liability, including the
12 correlative liability of a person, organization or protocol
13 to have the governance token's governance jural relations
14 changed by the exercise of a governance power;

15

16 (iii) Governance immunity, including minority
17 protections, veto rights, supermajority requirements and
18 charter-based constraints; and

19

20 (iv) Governance disability, including the
21 correlative absence of governance power by which a person
22 or class of persons cannot change the governance jural
23 relations of an immunity holder.

1

2 (e) The holder of a governance token may exercise
3 governance power through:

4

5 (i) A vote, by distributed ledger transaction,
6 smart contract interaction or protocol mechanism;

7

8 (ii) A proposal, submitted for consideration
9 under a governance mechanism;

10

11 (iii) A delegation by transferring the exercise
12 of a governance power to a delegate without transferring
13 the underlying governance token or governance jural
14 relation; or

15

16 (iv) Any other governance mechanism specified in
17 the governing instrument or protocol.

18

19 (f) A governance action shall have legal force and
20 effect only upon satisfaction of a quorum as defined by the
21 applicable governing instrument, protocol or smart
22 contract.

23

1 (g) The governing instrument applicable to a
2 governance token shall define the governance jural
3 relations, governance mechanisms and decision-making
4 procedures applicable to the governance token and may take
5 the form of articles of organization, an operating
6 agreement, bylaws, governing principles, protocol rules,
7 smart contract code or any other instrument.

8

9 (h) The indicia-corpus characterization and subject
10 matter characterization of a governance token shall proceed
11 under the analytical framework established in this title,
12 and the sealed instrument classification of the governance
13 token shall determine the governance token's transfer
14 mechanics and legal effects.

15

16 (j) Nothing in this section shall alter or diminish
17 the application of federal securities law, the UCC or any
18 other law applicable to a governance token that constitutes
19 a security, investment property or controllable electronic
20 record under that law.

21

1 **Section 2.** This act is effective July 1, 2026.

2

3

(END)