

### Solid Waste Mgt. in Indian Country



Mickey Hartnett Environmental Engineer Envirofields Rapid City, SD



Brent Rohlfs, PE Indian Health Service Federal Building Room 309 Aberdeen, SD 57401 (605)226-7600 brent.rohlfs@ihs.com

### Solid Waste Management in Indian Country





### THE ELDERS SAID, OUR EARTH IS OUR MOM. STOPLITTERING ON MOM!!



## Who Regulates Solid Waste in Indian Country\*?

Primarily Tribes and/or States depending on:

Land Status? (*tribal, fee, trust, etc.*)
Tribal Member vs Non-member?

\*NOTE: US EPA Regulates Hazardous Waste under RCRA Subtitle C

# Tribal Jurisdiction vs Authority

 <u>Jurisdiction</u>\*: Established by Treaty and federal laws, courts, policy and legal precedents

\*can vary by land type: Tribal, Trust, Allotted, Fee, etc.

 <u>Authority</u>: Established by Tribal laws and Codes



Solid Waste Act of 1976 & the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1980.

Different from other Environmental Acts (SDWA, CWA, CAA)

**Tribes:** <u>Defined as a "municipality"</u>; The RCRA (Subtitle D) solid waste criteria and regulations are self-implementing. The responsibility of daily SWM activities (i.e., collection, hauling, enforcement, etc.) is retained by the "operating governmental authority".

**States:** Generally do not have authority to implement a RCRA program on reservations\*, regardless of whether a tribal program exists. *{\* exception for "fee lands" in some cases}* 

**EPA:** Can only act in the case of "imminent and substantial endangerment to the health or environment" (RCRA 7003 Order). **EPA is authorized to rectify the situation**, but must first have data to support its actions.



### EPA Approval of Tribal Solid Waste Programs?

In October 1996, the Supreme Court ruled the EPA could not grant the Tribes solid waste program approval. The reasoning used is based on the passage in RCRA that defines Indian tribes as "municipalities", not states, and RCRA says nothing about municipalities submitting permitting plans for [EPA's] review.



### Solid Waste Responsibility

Assignment of full responsibility to tribes was initiated in 1987, when Mattie Blue Legs (a tribal member) and other residents sued the Oglala Sioux Tribe, EPA, BIA, and IHS under RCRA 7002 (Citizen Suit) to clean up the open dumps on the reservation.

The federal court ruled that it was the tribe who has the primary responsibility to regulate, operate and maintain the reservation SW sites.



### "Blue Legs" Case History

1990 US District Court orders defendants to comply with Option 1 Plan, developed by the Tribe, IHS and BIA;

2004 Mattie Blue Legs vs. BIA, IHS, OST re-filed. The new complaint alleges that the transfer stations are over-run with waste and not managed consistent with the original order, Tribal codes or RCRA. Plaintiffs to the current case request the Court reopen Blue Legs I.



### **Tribal SW Landfills**

Tribes are able to build and operate landfills. However, they must meet the federal criteria of 40 CFR Parts 257 and 258.

### What is an "Open Dump?"



#### <u>Any Landfill</u> that does meet the federal criteria in 40 CFR Parts 257 or 258

# Open Dumping can be difficult to regulate or control due to jurisdictional issues



### Open Dump Cleanups are being conducted by Tribes with assistance from US EPA and IHS.



#### BEFORE



#### **Tribes are trying to control Open Dumping**







## "Open Dumps"

#### "Indian Lands Clean Up of Open Dumps Act" of 1994.

#### **Congressional findings included:**

- Open dumps threaten the health and safety of residents of Indian lands and contiguous areas;
- Many of the dumps were established or (were) used by Federal agencies such as the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Health Service; and
- Most tribal governments lack the financial and technical resources necessary to close and maintain these dumps in compliance with applicable Federal laws.



## Purposes of the Act

(1) Identify the location of open dumps on Indian lands;

- (2) Assess the relative health and environmental hazards posed by such dumps; and
- (3) Provide financial and technical assistance to Indian tribal governments, either directly or by contract, to close such dumps in compliance with applicable Federal standards and regulations, or standards promulgated by an Indian tribal government if such standards are more stringent than the Federal standards.





Authority of the Director of the Indian Health Service.

<u>Reservation Inventory:</u> Upon request by an Indian tribal government or Alaska Native entity, the Director shall:

(A) conduct an inventory and evaluation of the contents of open dumps on the Indian lands or Alaska Native lands which are subject to the authority of the Indian tribal government or Alaska Native entity;

(B) determine the relative severity of the threat to public health and the environment posed by each dump; and

(C) develop cost estimates for the closure and post closure maintenance of such dumps.

The inventory and evaluation shall be carried out cooperatively with the US EPA.



#### **IHS Financial & Technical Assistance**

The IHS shall provide financial and technical assistance to the Indian tribal government or Alaska Native entity to carry out the activities necessary to:

(1) close such dumps; and

(2) provide for post closure maintenance of such dumps.



### **IHS Assistance Priorities**

All assistance shall be made available on a site-specific basis in accordance with priorities developed by the IHS.

Priorities on specific Indian lands or Alaska Native lands shall be developed in consultation with the Indian tribal government or Alaska Native entity.

The priorities shall take into account the relative severity of the threat to public health and the environment posed by each open dump and the availability of funds necessary for closure and post closure maintenance.

### **Open Dump Inventory Survey Form**

|  | SO | $\mathbf{LID}$ | WAS | STE | SURV | VEY | FORM |
|--|----|----------------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|
|--|----|----------------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|

Refer to OMDS field guide for definitions of terms

|  |            | Version 12/17/2008   |
|--|------------|--|
| Facility Name:                         |            |  |
| Community:                             |            | Tribe:   |
| State:                                 | _County:   | EPA ID (if any)  |
| Lat/Long: N                            |            | (Decimal) W(Decimal)   |
| Land Status:AK Native Lar              | ndAllotted | FeeNM PueblosPrivateTrust (Individual)Trust (Tribal)                             |
| <u>Solid Waste System Type (</u> checl | s one)     | <u>Condition</u> (check one)   |
| Solid Waste Disposal Site              |            | Open Dump-BuriedOpen Dump-Surface<br>Closed Cleaned up Upgraded Properly Managed |



#### Field Guide for OMDS Data Gathering / Data Entry

#### Updated 6/5/08

| OMDS Category  | OMDS Field          | Description                          | Valid Values  |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| General System | FDS#                | Facility Data System Number –        | Unique number assigned via algorithm - for solid waste                    |
| Information    |                     | assigned by IHS                      | systems 5th and 6 <sup>th</sup> digits are 3 and 0 respectively for solid |
|                |                     |                                      | waste sites   |
|                | System Type         | Type of sanitary system              | Choose from drop down list:   |
|                |                     | Only available when adding a new     | Sewer   |
|                |                     | system.                              | Sewer Dist  |
|                |                     |                                      | Solid Waste   |
|                |                     |                                      | Water   |
|                |                     |                                      | Water Dist  |
|                | Tribal System?      | Is the system or site tribally owned | Checked   |
|                |                     | and/or operated?                     | Unchecked   |
|                |                     | Checked = yes                        |   |
|                | Certified Operator? | Is system operator certified?        | Checked   |
|                |                     | Checked = yes                        | Unchecked   |
|                |                     | This most commonly will be           |   |
|                |                     | unchecked for solid waste systems.   |   |
|                |                     | Applies primarily to water and       |   |
|                |                     | sewer system operator certification. |   |
|                |                     | Some states have certification for   |   |
|                |                     | solid waste operators that tribal    |   |
|                |                     | operators might certify with.        |   |
|                | Eacility Name       | A descriptive name of the solid      | Name of dump site   |

### **OD Health Threat Score**

#### Size

Based on surface area reported

#### **Hazard factors**

- Contents
- Rainfall
- Site drainage and leachate potential
- Flooding potential
- Frequency of burning

#### **Proximity factors**

- Vertical distance to drinking water aquifer
- Horizontal distance to surface water
- Distance to homes





### The basic data library

#### https://wstars.ihs.gov



#### **Sanitation Tracking and Reporting System (STARS)** Welcome to STARS, a system of the Indian Health Service (IHS).

The mission of the Indian Health Service (IHS) is to raise the health status of the American Indian and Alaska Native people to the highest possible level by providing comprehensive health care and preventive health services. To support the IHS mission, the Division of Sanitation Facilities Construction (DSFC) provides technical assistance and sanitation facilities services to American Indian tribes and Alaska Native villages for cooperative development and continued operation of safe water, wastewater, and solid waste systems and related support facilities. STARS is a web-based database used to track sanitation facilities projects. It also contains information on existing Operation and Maintenance (O&M) organizations serving American Indians and Alaskan Natives (AI/AN).

STARS includes six major data systems:

- COMMUNITY, also known as CDP (Community Deficiency Profile), has information on the number and types of homes in AI/AN communities;
- SDS the Sanitation Deficiency System documents information about sanitation deficiencies related to AI/AN individual homes and communities;
- 3. PDS the Project Data System is used to track DSFC sanitation facilities construction projects;
- HPS the Housing Priority System is used to document, prioritize, and allocate resource needs for DSFC projects for new and like-new housing;
- OMDS the Operation and Maintenance Data System contains information about water, wastewater and solid waste systems serving AI/AN people and the organizations that operate systems; and
- 6. HITS the Home Inventory Tracking System is used to track applications for sanitation facilities to individuals and specific home sites.

#### SELECT AN AREA Click an area on the map or the list below.





### Tribal Solid Waste Programs: <u>2 key Documents</u>

**ISWMP** 

"Integrated Solid Waste Mgt. Plan"

Tribal Solid & Hazardous Waste Codes



US EPA Guidance on Tribal ISWMPs developed in collaboration with the IHS

### Integrated Waste Management System





### US EPA ISWMP Guidance\*

### 5 Elements of an ISWMP include descriptions of:

- 1) The community service area;
- The Tribe's solid waste program structure & administration;
- The Tribe's current and proposed waste management practices;
- 4) The funding and sustainability and the long-term goals of the Tribe's solid waste program; and
- 5) Demonstration of approval of the plan by appropriate governing body.

\*NOTE: long & short templates available

### Tribal SW Compliance & Enforcement is increasing





# Sustainability Evaluation Tool



### **Developed by US EPA and IHS:**

- Evaluation Tool to measure the sustainability of a waste management system or program.
- Specifically focused on tribal waste systems. Goal of the Evaluation Tool is:
- to assist tribes to identify strengths and weaknesses in key areas of waste management; and
- to make improvements in order to provide the best possible service while protecting public health and the environment.



### Focus on <u>seven</u> key areas:



- planning
- financial viability
- management
- staffing
- operations
- coordination
- compliance/enforcement



Sustainability Evaluation Tool



### Potential outcomes are:

- to help to attract funding or technical assistance,
- demonstrate the effectiveness of previous assistance,
- help ensure that unnecessary costs are avoided; and
- ✓ systems are capably managed.

#### **Tribal Solid Waste Collection & Management**





## Tribal Solid Waste Challenges

- Funding
  - ✓ Setting and Collecting Fees
  - ✓ USDA-RD Grants and/or Loans
  - $\checkmark\,$  IHS SDS or US EPA funding
- Open Dumps
- Updating & Replacing Equipment
- Jurisdiction Issues
- Civil Compliance & Enforcement
- Good enforceable codes & ordinances
- Community Support
- Running SW System as a "Business"

# Collection & Management of "Garbage" a challenge for all governments



### **Types of Tribal SW Systems**

- Tribal Collection & Tribal Landfill
- Tribal Collection & Off-Reservation Landfill
- Commercial Collection & Off-reservation Landfill also may have:
- Tribal Transfer Station
- Commercial Transfer Station

### **Types of Collection**

#### Curb-side Containers



Small Community Dumpsters

Large Dumpsters at Collection Stations



### **Types of Landfills**

- Municipal Solid Waste (regular garbage)
- C&D-Inert Wastes
- Special or Industrial Wastes
- Hazardous Waste (regulated by US EPA)

### High Volumes of "C&D" or "Inert" Wastes



Unmanaged Inert waste becomes an "Open Dump"!

### **Other Waste Challenges: Tires**




## **Other Waste Challenges: Junk Vehicles**



## **Electronics (E) Waste**

- Computers (monitor, drive, keyboard, mouse, printer, etc.)
- Televisions Fax machines Copy machines Cell phones



#### **Vegetative Wastes**



### White Goods



# Burn Barrels and Backyard Burning



### Disaster Debris Collection & Mgt.



### Hazardous Wastes

#### Household Hazardous Wastes



Hazardous Household Waste. Photo courtesy of CDC/ATSDR.



### Oil & Gas Field Wastes

#### ALL wastes from Oil & Gas Exploration & Production are exempt from U.S. EPA Regulation





#### **Produced Waters**

"TENORM" Wastes

#### Oil & Gas Field Wastes



#### **Drilling Cuttings**

#### **Drilling Waste Pits**

### MSW Transfer Station vs Landfill?



#### When is a Transfer Station Practical & Cost Effective?





#### **Transfer = Cost Savings**



Collection

### **Pitless Scale**





# Stationary Compactor





#### **Transfer Stations: Compactors**



#### **Drop into a Ram Compactor & Haul Box**

### **MSW Baler**





### **Transfer Stations**

#### **Baler System**

#### **Drop Floor & Trailer System**



#### SPIRIT LAKE TRANSFER STATION









### **Transfer without a Station**



#### Waste Screening Critical!









- Hazardous Waste
- Lead Acid Batteries
- Appliances
- Green Waste
- Bulky Items
- Recyclables
- Medical Wastes



## Monitoring of Waste to Avoid Hauling Air





## Transfer Station Planning Elements

- Conceptual Plan
- Economic Feasibility Analysis
- Permitting
- Site Selection



#### **Benefits of Transfer Systems**

- Cost Savings
- Environmental Benefits
- Waste Diversion and Materials Recovery
- Waste Screening
- Collection Fleet Efficiency
- Flexibility in Selecting Disposal Sites



## **Waste Deliveries**

- Tribal or Public Collection Fleet
- Contract/Franchise Collections
- Commercial Deliveries
- Residential Self-Haul



### **Diversion of Self-Haul Waste:**

- Yard Waste
- White Goods
- Tires
- C & D
- Paper

- Metal
- Plastic
- Newsprint
- Glass
- Cardboard

#### Provide containers for source-separated materials.



#### Waste Diversion





**Public Perspective: Potential Negatives** 

- Can Produce Localized Impacts
- Concerns Regarding Odor, Dust, Noise, Vectors, Litter
- Truck Traffic and Vehicle Emissions
- Some Opposition Based on Misconceptions
- Off-Reservation Wastes



# **Feasibility Analysis**

#### Compares Solid Waste Transfer to Direct Haul: \$/hour

- Input cost of transfer
- Input tipping fee
- Input round-trip travel time
- Input hourly wages
- Station Equipment/System O&M Costs



## **Transfer Haul Costs**

- Personnel Wages
- Personnel Benefits
- Fuel, Oil, Tires, Maintenance
- Lease or Cost of Capital
- Insurance, Taxes, Licenses, etc.







# **Project Specific Factors:**

- Transfer Trailer Capacity <u>21</u> tons
  Collection Vehicle Capacity <u>7</u> tons
- Personnel Costs <u>25</u> \$/hr
- •Transfer Vehicle Cost <u>120</u>\$/hr
- •Tipping Fee <u>25</u> \$tons
- Cost of Transfer <u>12</u> \$tons
- •Round-trip Travel Time <u>180</u>min.
- •Collection Vehicle Cost <u>100</u>\$/hr



- Bulky goods (tree stumps, mattresses, tires, etc.)
- Street sweepings (and other fines)
- Excessive drywall
- Carpeting
- Wiring
- Construction & Demolition (C & D) Wastes aka "inert wastes".



**Typical Densities –** 

**Transfer Mode** 

- Open-Top Trailers
- Stationary Compaction
- Pre-Compaction
- Baled Material

**lb/yd**<sup>3</sup> 300-500 600-800 600-800 1200-1500

Metric:  $1lb/yd^3 = .594 kg/m^3$ 



### **Disposal Site Parameters**

- Ownership/Contracts
- Tipping Fees
- Long-Term Availability
- Hours of Operation
- Access/Travel Time


# Disposal Site Parameters (continued)

- Special Equipment (i.e., Tipper, Forklift)
- Off-Road Conditions
- Turn-Around Time On-Site
- Long-Term Contract
- Environmental Liability



## Engineering Plans and Specifications

- Site Plan
- Floor Plan
- Traffic Flow
- Utilities and Fire Control
- Ancillary Uses



## **Floor Plan Objectives**

- Traffic and Unloading Efficiency
- Minimum Wait Time
- Quick Turn-Around for Transfer Trailers
- Public Facilities (ideally separate)
  - Will Public be allowed to use site?
  - Need more supervision
  - Several Safety Issues
  - Scavenging



# Inspection and Enforcement

- Recordkeeping
- Compliance with Operating Plans
- Emergency Preparedness
- Employee Training
- Notice of Violation, Fines, etc.



## **Siting Factors**

- Economic
- Environmental
- Cultural
- Physical Limitations
- Access
- Weather-Winds



Transfer Technologies

- Open-Top
- Compaction
- Pre-Compaction
- Baling

- Surge Pit
- Rail Haul
- Marine Transfer
- Convenience Centers



### **Technology Integration**

- Mode of Delivery
- Unloading
- Sorting/Inspection
- Processing and Loading
- Transfer Haul
- Unloading

**Unloading Technology Options** 



## **Open-Top Technology**





# Open-Top Technology Parameters

- High Flexibility
- Low Density
- Simple & Effective
- Low Operating Cost
- Walking Floors or Tippers to Unload



## **Typical Compactor System**





# Stationary Compaction -Parameters

- Low Payload
- High Cycle Times
- Mechanical Systems Require Redundancy or Contingency
- High Maintenance
- Self-Unloading









### **Baler Parameters**

- High capital and operating costs
- High density payloads
- Special equipment required (e.g., forklift)
- Can be used for recyclables
- Redundancy or contingency plan required
- Best used in conjunction with dedicated balefills



# **Ancillary Site Uses**

- Citizens Drop-off
- Vehicle Storage/Maintenance/

Fueling

- Administration/Education
- Materials Recovery Facilities
- Waste Diversion
- Future Needs



# Written Plans:

- The Plan of Operations details procedures for routine daily operations.
- The Contingency Plan spells out measures for unusual events and emergency response.
   ALSO:
- Maintenance Plan
- Waste Screening Plan
- Stormwater Plan (SWPPP)
- Spill Plan (SPCC)
- Closure/Post-Closure Plan

# Solid Waste Landfills are not just a "hole in the ground"



- A: Ground Water
- B: Clay C: Plastic Liner
- D: Leachate Collection Pipe
- E: Gravel

F: Drainage Layer G: Soil Layer H: Old Garbage Cells I: New Garbage Cells J: Leachate Pond



# What is the Federal Criteria? {*RCRA Subtitle D*}

**Location Standards** 

Landfill Design & Construction Stds:

- ✓ Liner system(s)
- ✓ Leachate Collection
- ✓ Run-on & Run-off collection

**Groundwater Monitoring System** 

**Operating Requirements** 

Closure & Post-Closure Requirements

Financial Assurance & Insurance

### Location Standards: 40 CFR §258.10-16

- Airport Safety (birds)
- Flood Plains
- Wetlands
- Fault Lines
- Seismic Impact Zones
- Unstable Areas

# **Other Siting Factors**

- Waste Source(s)
- Logistics
- Land Use Planning
- Geology & Soil Types
- Surface & Ground Water

#### Tribal Lands:

- BIA Env. Assessment
- Tribal Govt. & Tribal Laws-Codes
- Public Acceptance

### **PERMITS?**

- <u>No</u> US EPA Permit Required (meet criteria)
- Tribal Permit?
- Tribal TERO Requirements
- BIA Review
- State Permit (Fee Land?)
- Army Corp?
- Other?



# **Landfill Operation Terms**

- **Cell** volume placed in landfill in one day of operation
- Lift series of cells connected horizontally across the landfill
- Bench\* placed at certain heights on final slope of landfill sides to increase stability and collect storm water from final cap
- **Phase** constructed area for landfill operation that is lined and available for use

\*Note: the cells & lifts can go above the Bench level

### **Landfill Design Requirements**



Landfills are a Perpetual Construction Project

#### **Plans:**

- Existing Conditions Plan
- Site Development Plans
- Cross Sections (liners & systems)
- Final Contour Plans (cells & cap)
- Construction Details (total site operations)
- Operations & Management



# **Construction Specifications**

- Construction Instructions (methods & materials)
- Performance Criteria
- Design Standards (40 CFR Criteria & materials)
- Material/Product Specifications
- Installation Procedures (and restrictions)
- Construction QA/QC (3<sup>rd</sup> party?)

Note: who controls what? (sub-contractors)

# **Slopes are Critical**

**Gas Extraction Pic** 

Division Vision

- Landfill Cell (inside & outside)
- Final Cover

```
Ratio = 4:1 (25%)
1
```

% Slope = Vertical/Horizontal X 100

# Landfill Volume = Landfill Life = \$\$\$

#### **Trapezoidal Area**



#### **Horizontal Area**

X

### = Volume (cu. yds.)



#### Volume = Area X Length (Cu. Ft. or Cu. Yds.) Area = ½ (L1 + L2) X H Length = L3

# Liner Systems: Major Component

- Compacted Clay
- Synthetic
- Geo-Textiles

#### Factors to be considered:

- ✓ Local soil types & Stability
- ✓ Waste Types
- ✓ Climate & Season
- Material & Construction Costs
- ✓ Type of landfill operations



# Liner Systems: Federal Std.

#### 40 CFR §258.40

#### **Composite Liner System**

**Prescriptive Specifications:** 

Upper component minimum
 30-mil flexible membrane liner
 (HDPE must be 60 mil.)



- Lower component at least 2 feet
  - of compacted soil with hydraulic conductivity no more than 1x10-7 cm/sec.
- ✓ FML <u>MUST</u> installed in direct and uniform contact with the compacted soil component.
- {Note: Check local State Standards}

# **Liner Systems: Natural Liners**

- **Compacted Clay Layer**
- Factors to be considered:
- ✓ In-situ soils or imported?
- Permeability characteristics
- ✓ Soil density-compaction
- ✓ Soil layer thickness
- Characteristics of leachate



### **Construction Oversight Important**



Synthetic Liner & Geo-textile Installation

Clay Liner Lifts & Compaction (1 X 10 -7)

### "As Built" Plans Needed!





### Liquids Management 40 CFR §258.26

- ✓ Storm Water Run On
- ✓ Storm Water Run Off (inside & outside)
- ✓ Design for 25 yr./24 Hr. Storm Event
- ✓ Leachate Collection & Treatment required
- ✓ Final Cap & Cover Erosion Control
- Conduct Groundwater Monitoring

## LEACHATE

 Liquid that percolates thru the solid wastes and carries both soluble and insoluble constituents from the decomposing wastes.

#### **Typical Leachate:**

- o BOD = 10,000 mg/l
- o COD = 18,000 mg/l
- pH slightly acidic (about 6.0)
- o Total Hardness = 3,500 mg/l
- Varies depending on wastes characteristics
#### Leachate Containment

Collection & removal systems must limit the depth of leachate over the top of the liner to about 30cm (*approx. 1 ft. of head*)

Note: the superior containment properties of synthetic liners are partially offset by the vulnerability of the materials to damage during construction or operation and seam failures.

#### **Ground Water Monitoring**



#### Ground Water Monitoring System 40 CFR §258.51

- Objective is to detect and measure releases of liquid contamination to the environment
- <u>Minimum</u> of 1 up-gradient (background) & 3 down-gradient monitoring wells
- Can also monitor the aquifer(s)
- Specific well construction requirements
- Specific sampling and analytical requirements

#### **Ground Water Monitoring System** 40 CFR §258.51

SOME TIPS:

- Request for bids should clearly specify "monitoring well" drilling & construction
- Bidders should have experience in monitoring well drilling & construction
- Cannot use muds to lubricate drilling clogs the formation being monitored
- Well casing & screen materials is critical to avoid false data
- May want to include "well development"

# **Landfill Utilization Factors**

- Compaction Method (Baled vs In-Place)
- Compaction Efficiency (waste/unit volume)
- Daily Cover Type (soil vs other materials)

LUF = Waste Weight ÷ Landfill Volume

#### **Annual LUF Calculation**

 No. Cu. Yds Consumed (per year)
No. Tons (over the scale) (per year)
LUF = Tons (2,000 lbs/Ton) = Lbs/Cu. Yd. Cu. Yds.

LUF should be <u>at least 1,000 lbs/cu. Yd.</u>

#### Landfill Gas (got a market?)



#### A Landfill is a SYSTEM



# Solid Waste Funding \$\$\$\$\$

- Collection Fees
- Commercial Services
- Drop-off Fees
- Recycling Revenue &
- Waste Diversion/Conversion Savings
- Tribal Govt. Subsidy
- IHS (SDS Funding)
- USDA-Rural Development Grant/loans

# Solid Waste Expenses \$\$\$\$

- Equipment & Maintenance
- ✓ Fuel
- ✓ Salaries
- Containers
- ✓ Disposal "Tipping Fees"
- ✓ Training
- ✓ Recycling
- ✓ Xfer Station and/or Landfill??

#### US EPA National Waste Data - 2010



# Wind River Tribes, WY Waste Stream Study 2006



# Standing Rock Sioux Tribe 2002 Waste Sort Study

- 2,014 pounds of municipal solid waste included in a 2002 study:
- 66% was identified as residential;
- 33% identified as commercial or institutional

| Paper      | 28.80% |
|------------|--------|
| Glass      | 7.50%  |
| Metals     | 8.40%  |
| Plastics   | 14.30% |
| Organics   | 39.50% |
| Inorganics | 1.40%  |

#### Waste Generation: per capita



#### Waste Generation: Calculation

- Assumption = Xlb. Of MSW/person/day
- Operate Landfill 6 days/week
- tons/day = population x (Xlb.)

2,000 lbs/ton

Avg. tons/day = (7 days) x (tons/day)

6 days

<u>NOTE:</u> Generally Reservation MSW/per capita is lower than the national average.

# **More Recycling Needed**

- Aluminum cans
- Scrap Metal
- Car Batteries
- Cardboard
- Paper
- Plastics
- E-Wastes
- Used Motor Oil
- Used cooking oil
- Composting



#### Solid Waste Training





Tribal Solid Waste Education and Assistance Program Institute for Tribal Environmental Professionals PO Box 15004 Flagstaff, Arizona 86011 http://www.nau.edu/itep/

"Addressing and Managing Illegal Dumps in Indian Country" course in Denver, Colorado, July 17-19, 2012. This course will provide guiding principles in the identification, regulation, and closure of illegal dumps on tribal lands.



### #1 way to prevent waste?

Teach children how to prevent waste, recycle and conserve our resources so we have more to work with in the future.





# **COMMENTS?**

# Huh? Did you say something?